#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 274 233 HE 019 659

TITLE [Basic Grants. End-of-Year Report 1977-78 Academic

Year.]

INSTITUTION Bureau of Student Financial Assistance (DHEW/OE),

Washington, D.C.

PUB DATE Apr 79

NOTE 107p.; For related documents, see HE 019 658-663.

PUB TYPE Statistical Data (110) -- Reports - Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC05 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Annual Reports; College Students; \*Dependents;

Eligibility; Expenditures; Family Income; \*Federal Aid; Federal Programs; \*Financial Aid Applicants; \*Grants; Higher Education; Program Costs; \*Self Supporting Students; Student Costs; \*Student

Financial Aid; Trend Analysis

IDENTIFIERS \*Basic Educational Opportunity Grants

### **ABSTRACT**

A status report for the Basic Educational Opportunity Grant Program (Basic Grant Program) for the 1977-1978 academic year is presented. Information is based on merged applicant and recipient files. Statistical tables cover: total number of applicants, number of qualified and nonqualified applicants; number of recipients; total expenditures; average, minimum, and maximum grants; number of recipients, average grant, and estimated expenditures by type of institution; distribution of Basic Grant recipients by Student Eligibility Index range and family income; distribution of Basic Grant recipients by family income and grant level; distribution of Social Security and veteran recipients by family income and grant level; distribution of Basic Grant recipients by educational cost range and grant level, distribution of Basic Grant recipients by Student Eligibility Index range and type of institution; total expenditures, total recipients, and average grant by type and control of institution. Most of these statistics are also broken down for dependent and independent students. (SW)



### 1977-78

### END OF YEAR REPORT



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

STEVEN ZWILLING

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION BUREAU OF STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

WASHINGTON, O.C. 20202

APR 30 1979

#### Dear Colleague:

Enclosed for your information is the Basic Educational Opportunity Grant Program "End-of-Year Report" for the 1977-78 award period. For the first time, the "End-of-Year Report" contains general information and statistical tables based on merged applicant/recipient data. Also, the report includes a brief description of program trends for the award periods 1973-74 through 1977-78, as well as summary tables for 1977-78 regarding various characteristics of Basic Grant recipients.

It is intended that this report be used as a summary status guide or desktop reference manual on the Basic Grant Program.

We hope that this information will be useful to you. We appreciate your continuing interest and support of the Basic Grant Program.

Sincerely,

Leo L. Kornfeld

Deputy Commissioner for

Student Financial Assistance



### Preface

For the past several years, the Basic Grant Program Analysis Section has routinely produced an End-of-Year Report intended for use as a status guide or desktop reference manual on the Program. Because of technical difficulties associated with merging millions of records on applicants and the recipient or award data from postsecondary education institutions, previous End-of-Year Reports have been divided into two sections: Applicant Statistics and Recipient Statistics. Distributions of student characteristics were presented on "eligible applicants" and many resulting inferences were drawn assuming that all eligible applicants continued their postsecondary education plans, actually enrolled in a school and received awards. It has always been recognized that this assumption could not be supported in all instances. Within the past year, the technical difficulties associated with merging the separate data files have been largely overcome and, for the first time, the universe file consisting of the merged applicant and recipient files has been utilized to produce the 1977-78 End-of-Year Report.

Because the Basic Grant Analysis Section has decided to use the merged applicant and recipient files to produce this End-of-Year Report, the remainder of these prefatory remarks will be devoted to outlining other decisions regarding the universe file which will circumscribe any inferences that can be drawn about these data.



### 1. Timeliness of Data

The data processing industry has developed an axiom known as the "Rule of 90" regarding any large data processing system. Basically, data processing experts recognize that 90 percent of all records can be routinely processed, but that 10 percent of the transactions will concern detected problems associated with keypunch error, miscoding, etc. Furthermore, data processors anticipate that 90 percent of their time and personnel resources will be expended in resolving those 10 percent problem cases.

It appears that the Basic Grant Program is not exempt from the "Rule of 90." Consequently, the vast majority of records are generally available for analysis shortly after the close of the academic year in June, but error resolution on the data file requiring human intervention proceeds at a painstakingly slow pace as additional records are transferred from various "suspense" files to the "clean" universe file.

The possibility of error is introduced by virtue of incorporating into the universe of records those which contain unresolved data problems. On the other hand, waiting until the resolution process was completed and all records were on the clean file would mean that this report could not be published in time for maximum utilization of its statistics.



Generally, it was observed that users truncated or "rounded" numbers to a considerable degree for purposes of clarity and simplification. Under these conditions, the attempt to achieve absolutely "final" or "complete" tabulations became a spurious effort.

Also, during the review of interim tabulations from incomplete files, it was noticed that while the raw numbers in the data cells changed somewhat, the percentages tended to remain constant or were altered almost imperceptibly. This signified that the records on the suspense files were distributed normally throughout the population. Since users appeared more interested in percentage distributions rather than raw numbers, the additional time required to transfer several hundred, or even several thousand, more records to the "clean" universe file was not warranted.

Finally the decision was made to "freeze" the file in December and report all statistics as collected to that point. In so doing, readers should be aware that the statistics as reported herein will be subject to future reconciliation and the total number of recipients should grow slightly; but as stated above, no significant alterations in the data distributions are anticipated because of this.



### 2. Roster Reconciliation

Another point which should be stated is that award (recipient) data are derived from the Student Eligibility Reports (SER) submitted by the institutions to the Office of Education with their Institutional Progress Reports. This factor is noteworthy because award data as reported herein is synomous with "Expected Disbursements" as indicated on each individual SER when completed by the Financial Aid Administrators at the time they prepare the student financial aid packages.

It is known, however, that some students change their postsecondary education plans subsequent to this point, e.g., they may change from full-time to half-time status later in the school year, or drop-out of school for the second term, etc.

When student rosters for schools are printed, adjustments are made by the schools to these records, actual disbursements indicated, and the rosters are returned to the U.S. Office of Education. Obviously, this procedure introduces yet another, and somewhat considerable, time delay. Although it is recognized that this reconciliation process is likely to alter some distributions, it was concluded that users of these data should be aware of this fact, but that timeliness of reporting these data should supersede the reconciliation process.



### 3. Geographic Location

Several of the tables included in this report distribute recipients by "state" location. For the purposes of this particular demographic parameter, two (2) choices were available: (1) the state of legal residence for applicants or (2) the location of the postsecondary institutions which applicants attended. Obviously, needs arise for both types of distributions; but in the past, the most predominant request was for distributions of students and awards by "school location within states" rather than by "state of legal residence." Accordingly, the decision was made to distribute statistics within this report by state according to the location of the school.

### 4. Classification of Postsecondary Institutions

Information as to type and control of institutions is data taken directly from institutional Progress Reports. During previous academic years, some institutions did not report level and type; thus, they could not be classified or categorized as either public, proprietary or private, non-profit. For this reason an "Other" category was established to include those institutions that could not be classified.



During December 1977, improved institutional level edits were implemented into the SER processing system and more complete entries of available information on postsecondary institutions were added to the Universe file. Therefore, although the category "Other" which was utilized in previous academic years is present on the tables, there are no entries in the category.

Finally, any comments or suggestions for future enhancement of this report should be addressed to:

Dr. Joseph A. Vignone Chief, Analysis Section BGB/DPPD/BSFA Room 4318, ROB-3 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20202



Table 1 Summary Statistics for Cross-Year Reference

Table 1 is a summary table which presents data from academic year 1973-74, the first year of the Basic Grant Program, through academic year 1977-78.

As can be observed from the data, the Basic Grant Program has experienced almost a 750% increase in the number of applications processed from the first year of operation during the 1973-74 academic year to the 1977-78 academic year. It should also be noted that during these years, the student population eligible for awards has been expanded from full-time freshmen in 1973-74 to all classes of students in 1977-78.

One data element which needs further clarification is the category "number of applications returned for insufficient data." This category includes only those applications which were returned to students for further information and which were never returned to Basic Grants for re-processing.

Of the 3,844,047 applications in 1977-78, there were 3,621,641 valid applications, with 2,390,320 qualified applicants and 1,231,321 non-qualified applicants. Of the 2,390,320 qualified applicants, some 1,863,990 recipients in 1977-78 actually enrolled in an approved postsecondary education institution, submitted Student Eligibility Reports, and actually received an award. The number of recipients in 1977-78, however, needs further clarification. The number of recipients which appears in the Summary Cross-Year Reference Table represents the total number of program recipients. Technically, recipients are classified as two distinct types: Regular Disbursement Students (RDS) or Alternate Disbursement Students (ADS). An RDS recipient is one who receives his/her grant directly from the institution he/she attends. However,



an ADS recipient receives his/her grant directly from the Basic Grant Alternate Disbursement Unit, which is part of the Office of Education. The reason the ADS recipients do not receive their grants directly from the institution is that they are attending an eligible institution that does not participate in the Basic Grant Program. Therefore, the figure that constitutes the total number of recipients for the Basic Grant Program is the combined total of RDS and ADS recipients.

For academic year 1977-78, the number of ADS recipients is 17,910, while the RDS recipients figure is 1,846,080. Combining these two figures gives a total number of recipients of 1,863,990. It is important to note that Table 1 is the only table where the combined total of ADS and RDS recipients is used. All of the remaining tables in the 1977-78 Basic Grant End-of-Year Report will reflect only RDS recipients. Consequently, the total number of recipients that will appear in all of the remaining tables will reflect only the RDS figure of 1,846,080.

Table 1 also presents total expenditures, which includes funding for ADS recipients as well as RDS recipients. Again it should be noted that Table 1 is the only table in this year's report that includes both ADS and RDS statistics. Consequently, the total expenditures figure of \$1,587,863,624 will be altered in the remaining tables to reflect only RDS funding of \$1,574,999,886.

Other program variables that this Table presents are the average, minimum and maximum BEOG grant. Of these three variables the minimum and maximum grant have remained constant from 1975-76 to 1977-78, while the average grant has increased from \$763 in 1975-76 to \$852 in 1977-78.



# TABLE 1 HASIC EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANT PROGRAM SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR CROSS-YEAR REFERENCE ACADEMIC YEAH 1977-78

		A C A	DEHIC Y	EAR	
	1973-1974	1974-1975	1975-1976	1976-1977	1977-1978
NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS PROCESSED	512,866	1.304.877	2,339,337	3,590,379	318441041
NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS RETURNED FOR INSUFFICIENT DATA	30,535	190,793	160,641	101,661	222 1400
NUMBER OF VALID APPLICATIONS	482,331	1+114+084	2,178,696	3,408,718	3+621+64
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF QUALIFIED APPLICATIONS	268 :444 (55 :7 <b>5</b> )	601.648	1,455,187	2,258,643 (66,2 <b>\$</b> )	2+390+320 (66.0%)
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF NON-QUALIFIED APPLICATIONS	213,887 (44,35)	432.436 (38.89)	723,509 (33,2%)	1,150,675 (33,8%)	[+23]+32] (34.03]
CLASSES OF ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS	FULL-TIME FRESHMAN	FULL-TIME FRESHMAN AND SOPHONORES	FRESHMAN SOPHONDRES JUNIONS	ALL UNDERGRADUATES	ALL Undergraduates
NUMBER OF HECIPIENTS	185,249	573,403	1,228,034	1,945,454	1+863+990
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$49,873,951	1356,536,667	5936,543,134	\$1,473,814,387	\$1,587,863,624
AVERAGE BEOG GRANT	\$269	\$622	\$763	1758	\$852
MINIMUM BEUG GRANT	\$ 50	\$ 50	\$200	\$200	\$200
HAXIHUM BEUG GRANT	\$452	\$1,050	51,400	\$1,400	\$1.400



### Table 2 Summary Statistics by Type of Institution

Table 2 presents summary statistics by type of institution. The total number of institutions participating in the Basic Grant Program during academic year 1977-78 was 4,679, which were placed into 5 type categories: Universities, Other Four-Year Institutions, Two-Year Institutions, Institutions with Programs of One-Year but less than Two-Years, and Institutions with Programs of Six Months but less than One-Year.

It should be noted that the primary distinction between universities and other four-year institutions is that universities award advanced degrees, such as graduate or law degrees, whereas other four-year institutions generally award only a baccalaureate degree. There were 1,636 two-year institutions representing a larger proportion than any other type of institution. Total recipients attending all types of institutions was 1,846,080. Of this total figure, over 75% of those recipients attended either a university or two-year institution, with the greatest number of recipients, 793,628, attending universities.

Funding of recipients for all types of institutions was \$1,574,999,886. The largest disbursement of Basic Grant funds to any type of institution was \$714,848,410 to universities. This figure represents approximately 45% of the total expenditures.

Another program variable directly related to those previously mentioned is average grant. Overall, the average grant was \$853, with the highest average grant being \$954 for four-year institutions and the lowest average grant being \$747 for two-year institutions.



### TABLE Z HASTER SUMMARY STATISTICS BY TYPE OF INSTITUTION ACADENIC YEAR 1977-78

TYPE OF LINST LFUT LON	NUMBER OF INSTITUTIONS	OF ESTIMATED OF		AV ERAGE GRANT
UNIVERSI TIES	569	\$714.848.410	793.628	\$901
OTHER 4 YEAR	1.096	\$303,096,534	317.790	\$954
2 YEAR	1.636	\$466.205.870	623.754	8747
1 YEAR BUT LESS THAN 2 YEARS	264	\$29.226.387	36,122	\$809
6 MONTHS BUT LESS THAN 1 YEAR	1.114	\$61.622.685	74,786	\$624
OTHER	0	\$0	o	<b>\$</b> 0
TOTAL	4.679	\$1.574.999,886	1,846,080	\$0 53

Table 3A Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index Range and Family Income

Table 3A is a distribution of the total number of Basic Grant recipients by Student Eligibility Index and Family Income. The income class intervals are in \$3,000 ranges, with the student eligibility indices in 200 point ranges, except for the first category which indicates the number of recipients who received a zero eligibility index.

Basic Grants were awarded to 1,846,080 recipients. It should be noted that 1,110,346 recipients, constituting 60% of the total number of Basic Grant recipients for academic year 1977-78, reported family incomes of \$6,000 or less. Of this 60%, 658,370 recipients, constituting 35.6% of the total, reported family incomes of \$3,000 or less.

It is interesting to note that of the total Basic Grant recipients, 925,017, or slightly more than 50 percent, had student eligibility indices of zero. Moreover, of those recipients with zero student eligibility indices, 171,342 or 18.4% had family incomes of \$9,001 or more.



# TABLE 3 A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE AND FAMILY INCOME ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

GRAAD TOTAL 1,846,080

FAMILY INCOME

			INDUSTRA THEORY	•			
STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE	\$ 0 - 3,000	\$3001 - 6,000	\$6001 - 9,000	\$9001 - 12,000	\$12001 - 15,000	\$15,001 +	TOTAL
0						***************************************	JUIAL
HUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	354,860 ( 38,38)	240,214 ( 25,98)	150,401 ( 17.1 <b>5</b> )	94, 201 ( 10, 15)	49, 351 ( 5,3 <b>8)</b>	27,790 ( 3.0 <b>3</b> )	925, 011 (100.08)
1 - 200		~~~~~					***************************************
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	80,784 1 33,581	56,338 ( 23,38)	44,614 ( 18,5 <b>%</b> )	31,205   12,981	17,690 ( 7,3 <b>\$</b> )	10,293	240,924 (100.081
201 - 400							~~~~~
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	53,614 ( 33,48)	37,616 ( 23,4%)	29,394 ( 18,38)	20,911 (13,01)	11+999 ( 7-43)	61872 ( 4-24)	160,406 4100-0 <b>%</b> 1
401 - 600							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	49.700 1 32.9\$1	4 55°8£1. 34°63∱	27,580 ( 18,2%)	20.041 1 13.211	12 <sub>1</sub> 034 ( 7.98)	6,821 1 4.58)	150, 607
601 - 800						~~~~	1100.011
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	46,271 4 32,731	32,187 1 22,781	25,862 ( 10.21)	14,609 ( 13,11)	11,465 ( 8.11)	7,054 6 4,921	141,448 (100.01)
601 - 1000				~~~~			
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS ERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	1 32.311	28,122 1 22,481	22,712	16,756 ( 13,31)	10,360 1 8.21)	6,704 1. 5.331	125, 117 (100.01)
1001 - 1200					~~~~		
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PROCENT OF RECIPIENTS	32,678 ( 31.91)	22,868 ( 22.31)	10.767	13,583 ( 13,23)	8,475 ( 8.22)	5,990 ( 5.8%)	102,361 1100.08)
TOTAL				~~~~~ .			11001047
IUNDER OF RECIPIENTS ERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	658, 370 ( 35. 62)	451, 976 ( 24, 4 <b>3</b> )	327,530 ( 17.7 <b>3)</b>	215,306 ( 11.68)	121,374 ( 6,58)	71,524 ( 3.88)	1,846,980 (1.00,98)

17

Table 3B Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index Range and Family Income

Table 3B is a distribution of total dependent recipients by Student Eligibility Index and Family Income. The number of dependent Basic Grant recipients was 1,135,947. Of this total, 621,737 dependent recipients, which constituted 54.7% of the total number of dependent recipients, reported family incomes of \$6,000 or less.

As Table 3B indicates, 768,485 or 67.7% of dependent recipients had student eligibility indices of 400 or less, with 465,821 or 41% of the total dependent recipients having received student eligibility indices of zero. Of the 768,485 dependent recipients with student eligibility indices of 400 or less, 436,968 or 56.9% had family incomes of \$6,000 or less. In addition, 73,242 or 6.4% of the dependent recipients had student eligibility indices ranging from 1,001 to 1,200.



TABLE 3 B
DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY TADEX RANGE AND FAMILY TACONE
ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

CEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

FAMILY INCOME

<del>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</del>	-		FAMILT INCUM	<b>.</b>			
STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE	3,000	\$3001 - 6,000	\$6001 - 9.000	\$9001 - 12,000	\$12001 - 15.000	\$15,001 +	TOTAL
0						<del>*******</del>	
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	163,468 1 35,0 <b>%</b> 1	111,790 ( 23,9%)	83,037 ( 17.8%)	57,004   12.21/	32,435 ( 6,98)	16,087 ( 3,8%)	165, 82 (100, 01
1 ~ 200					<b></b>		~~~~~
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	59,836 · ( 31.4%)	42,808 ( 22,5 <b>1</b> )	35,966 1 18,981	26,798 ( 14,18)	15, 502 ( 8,1 <b>\$</b> )	9,096 ( 4,78)	190,00
201 - 400		~~~~~					(100.01
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	34, 184 ( 30, 38)	24,882 ( 22.05)	21,266 ( 18.8 <b>3</b> )	16,718 ( 14,8 <b>5</b> )	9,860 ( 8,7%)	5,720 ( 5,0%)	112,65
401 - 600				<del></del>			
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	31,319 1 29.6\$1	22,758 ( 21,5%)	19,978	15,984 ( 15,17)	10,045 ( 9,481	5,688 ( 5.38)	105,77
601 - 800		~~~~~					1100.01
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	28,914 1 29.331	20,693	10.576 1 18.831	14,829 ( 15.01)	9,553 ( 9.74)	909,5 180.6	98,47 1100. <b>c</b> 2
801 - 1000							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	26,181 ( 29,03)	18,826	16,749	13,593 1 15,111	8, 816 6 9, 72)	5,809	89.974 (100.08)
1001 - 1200						~~ <u>`</u>	
IUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	20, 945 1 28.5%	15,133 ( 20.68)	13,750	11,024 ( 15.03)	7,202 ( 9.83)	5,140	73,242
TOTAL						1 7.08)	[100.01]
UMBER OF RECIPIENTS ERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	364, 847 ( 32, 18)	256,890 ( 22.68)	209,350	155,950 ( 13,78)	93,421 1 8.28)	55,489 ( 4,8 <b>8</b> )	1;135,947 1100.081

Table 3C Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index Range and Family Income

Table 3C is a distribution of total independent recipients by Student Eligibility Index and Family Income.

The total number of independent Basic Grant recipients was 710,133. Of this total, 488,609 or 68.7% of the independent recipients reported family incomes of \$6,000 or less. In comparing dependent recipients to independent recipients, 54.7% of dependent recipients reported family incomes of \$6,000 or less, whereas 68.7% of independent recipients reported family incomes within this range.

Moreover, 459,196 or 64.7% of independent recipients had student eligibility indices of zero. Of those independent recipients with zero student eligibility indices, 319,816 or 69.5% had family incomes of \$6,000 or less. Only 29,119 or 4.1% of the independent recipients had student eligibility indices in the 1,001 to 1,200 range.



# TABLE 3 C DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE AND FAMILY INCOME ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS 710,133

FAMILY INCOME

STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE	\$ _0 ~ 3,000	\$3001 - 6,000	46001 - 91000	- 1000\$	\$12001 - 15,000	\$15,001 +	TOTAL
0					·		
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	191,392	128,424 1 27.981	75,564 6 16.433	37,197 ( 8,13)	16,914	9,703 6 2.111	459,196 4100.03
1 - 500							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	20,948	13,530 1 26.541	8,648 1 16.94)	4,407 ( 8.63)	2,188 ( 4.23)	1,197 ( 2,38)	50,916 • 6100.04)
201 - 400			~~~~				
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	19,430	12,134 1 26,631	6.108 8.108	4,193 ( 0,78)	2, 131 ( 4, 43)	1, 152 ( 2.48)	47,748 (100.02)
401 - 400							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	18,381 ( 40-12)	11,873 ( 26,31)	7,602 ( 16.83)	4,057 ( 9.01)	1,989 ( 4,43)	1,133	45,035 (100.08)
601 - 800			~~~~	~~~~			
HUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	17,357 ! 40.38)	11,494 ( 26.78)	7,266 ( 16.9 <b>3</b> )	3,780 ( 8.78)	1,912 1 4.48)	1,145	42,974 (100.0 <b>x</b> )
601 - 1000			~~~~				11001001
HUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	14,282	9,296 ( 26,48)	5,963 ( 16,9 <b>3</b> )	3, 163 ( 9,0 <b>1</b> )	1,544 ( 4,38)	895 ( 2.58)	35,143 (100,08)
1001 - 1200				~~~~			
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	11, 733	7,735 ( 26,5 <b>1</b> )	5,009 ( 17.2 <b>\$</b> )	2,559 ( 8.78)	1, 273 ( 4, 38)	A10   .173)	29,119 (100.0%)
TOTAL							1-00.001
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	293, 523 ( 41.38)	195,086 ( 27.48)	118.180	59,356 ( 0.38)	27, 953 ( 3,98)	16,035 ( 2.21)	`710,133 (100.0%)



Table 4A Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Family Income and Grant Level

Table 4A is a distribution of total Basic Grant recipients by Family Income and Grant Level. The grant levels show ranges of awards up to and including the maximum award of \$1,400.

Of particular interest in Table 4A is the total number of recipients who received the maximum Basic Grant award of \$1,400. During the 1977-78 academic year, 142,596 or 7.7% of the total recipient population received the maximum award. Of those recipients who received the maximum award, 50,834 reported family incomes ranging from \$0 to \$3,000. Another 35,180 reported family incomes ranging from \$3,001 to \$6,000. These two income ranges accounted for approximately 60% of those recipients recipients recipients recipients recipients award of \$1,400.

Table 4A also indicates that 753,206 or 40.7% received awards of \$799 or less. Basic Grant awards ranging from \$800 to \$1,399 were also awarded to 950,278 or 51.4% of the recipient population.

There were 658,370 recipients who had family incomes ranging from \$0 to \$3,000. Of those recipients in that income range, 355,920 or 54% received grants ranging from \$400 to \$999.



## TABLE 4 A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FANILY INCOME AND GRANT LEVEL ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

GRAND TOTAL 1.816.080

GRANT LEVEL

			OWNER FEACT				
FAMILY INCOME RANGE	\$ 0 - 399	\$ 400 - 799	\$ 800 - 999	\$1,000 - 1,199	11,200 - 1,399	\$1,400	TOTAL
0 ~ 3,000					~~~~		
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	76, 562   11.61	190,583 ( 28,98)	165,337 ( 25,12)	187, 289 ( 17.88)	51, 765 ( 8.7 <b>8</b> )	50,834 ( 7,72)	658,370 (100.08)
3,001 - 6,000				~~~~			~~~~
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	52,347 ( 11,58)	130,882	111,998 ( 24,75)	62,323 ( 18.2%)	39,246 ( 6,68)	35,180 4 7,781	451 • 976 ( 100 • 01)
6,001 - 9,000						<del></del>	
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	38,543 ( 11,78)	94,497 ( 28.88)	80,288 ( 24,58)	60,593 ( 18.48)	27,986 ( 8,5%)	25,623 ( 7,81)	327, 530 (100. 01)
9,001 - 12,000				~	~~~		12042041
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	25, 506 ( 11.88)	62,382 ( 28.98)	51 :865 1 24 :08)	40 .603 ( 10.6%)	10,376 ( 8.5%)	16,574 ( 7,681	215, 306 (100.01)
12,001 - 15,000							13003041
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	14,680 ( 12.03)	36,025 ( 29,61)	29:050 ( 23-9 <b>1</b> )	22,315 4 18.311	10,268 1 8,4%	9,036 ( 7.43)	121,374 (100.03)
15,001 +					~~~		11401041
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	9,572 ( 13,38)	21,627 1 30.211	16,183 1 22,61	12,908 1 18.011	5, 885 ( 8.21)	5,349 · ( 7,42)	71,524
TOTAL							1100.031
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	217, 210 ( 11.7%	535,996 1 29.081	454.721 1 24.631	336,031 6 18.281	159,526 4 8.633	142,596	1,846,080 (1 <b>00.</b> 03)



Table 4B Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Family Income and Grant Level

Table 4B is a distribution of total dependent recipients by Family Income and Grant Level. The same grant levels and income ranges as listed in Table 4A are replicated in Table 4B.

Table 4B indicates that 621,737 dependent recipients reported family incomes of \$6,000 or less. This constituted 54.7% of the total dependent recipients. Of those reporting family incomes of \$6,000 or less, 445,128 or 37.2% of the total dependent recipients received grants ranging from \$600 to \$1,199.

As shown by Table 4B, 605,324 dependent recipients received grants ranging from \$400 to \$999. This accounted for 53.2% of all dependent recipients.



# TABLE 4 8 DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCCHE AND GRANT LEVEL ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

CEPENDENT RECEPIENTS 1,135,947

GRANT LEVEL

FAHILY INCOME RANGE	\$ 0 - 399	\$ 400 <b>-</b> 199	\$ 800 <b>-</b> 999	\$1,000 - 1,199	11,200 - 1,399	\$1,400	TOTAL
0 - 3,000							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	36,743 ( 10.02)	100,405 ( 27.5%)	94,882 ( 26.03)	65,577   17.981	39, 339 ( 10.7 <b>1</b> )	27,901 1 7-681	344, 847 (100.03)
3,001 - 6,000			~~~~			~~~~~	
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	26,114 ( 10,11)	70,973 4 27.6 <b>%</b> )	65,886 ( 25.63)	47,405 ( 18.42)	26,629 ( 10.3 <b>3</b> )	19,403 ( 7.78)	256, 890 (100, 02)
6.001 - 9.000			~~~~~				
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	22,546 ( 10.74)	58,664 ( 28,0 <b>%</b> )	52, 288 ( 24.9 <b>3)</b>	39,302 ( 16.4%)	20,350 ( 9,72)	16,120 1 7.75)	209, 350 (140, 0 <b>1</b> )
9,001 - 12,000	,		~				~~~~~
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	17, 544 ( 11,28)	44,543 1 28.581	30,183   24.48)	29,562 ( 18.58)	14,621 ( 9,38)	11.497 ( 7.38)	155, 950 (100.0 <b>8)</b>
12.001 - 15,000				~~~~~			
HUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	10,959 ( 11.7 <b>2)</b>	27,563 ( 29,5 <b>2</b> )	22,630 ( 26.28)	17,200 ( 18,48)	8,473 ( 9,08)	6,596 ( 7.08)	93, 421 (100.08)
15.001 +							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	7,435 ( 13.38)	16,750 ( 30,18)	12,557 ( 22,68)	9,555 { 17,9 <b>8</b> }	4,869 ( 8,7%)	3,923 6 7.081	35,489 (100.08)
TOTAL							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	121, 341 ( 10, 61)	318,898 ( 28.08)	286,426 ( 25.28)	209,001 ( 10-42)	114,281 ( 10,08)	65 ,920 ( 7-58)	1,135,947 (100,08)



Table 4C Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Family Income and Grant Level

Table 4C is a distribution of total independent recipients by Family Income and Grant Level. Independent recipients constituted 710,133 or 38.5% of the total Basic Grant recipient population.

As Table 4C indicates, 385,393 or 54.1% of the independent recipients received grants ranging from \$400 to \$999 which compares to 53.6% of the total recipient population in these same grant levels.

Table 4C also indicates that 488,609 independent recipients reported family incomes of \$6,000 or less. Of those independent recipients who reported family incomes of \$6,000 or less, 353,284 or 49.7% of all independent recipients received Basic Grant awards ranging from \$400 to \$1,199. In addition, 56,676 or 7.9% of independent recipients received the maximum award of \$1,400.



# DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND GRANT LEVEL ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS 710,133

FAMILY INCOME RANGE	10-	\$ 100 -	GRANT LEVEL				
0 - 3,000	399	199	\$ 800 -	\$1,000 - 1,199	\$1,200 - 1,399	\$1,400	TOTAL
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS 3,001 - 6,000	39,819	90,178	70,455 ( 24.0%)	51,712 ( 17,61)	18,426	22,933 7.681	293,52 /100.01
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS  6,001 - 9,000	26,233 ( 13,48)	59,909 ( 30,781	44,112 ( 23,68)	34,918 ( 17.81)	12,617 1 6,41)	15,297 1 7.8%1	195,086 (100, CR)
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	15, 997 ( 13, 5%)	35,833 1 30,381	28,000 1 23.631	21,211 ( 17,91)	7, 636 1 6, 41)	9,503	118, 180
9,00L - 12,000 NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	7, 962 ( 13, 44)	17,839 ( 30,08)	13,682	11,041	3,755	5,077	1100.021
12:001 - 15:000 Humber of Recipients Percent of Recipients	3, 721	8,462	61420		1 6.38)	1 8.58)	(100.05)
15,001 +	1 13.381	1 30,211	1 22.98)	5,115	1 6.48)	2,440	27, 953 (100.08)
UMBER OF RECIPIENTS ERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	2,137 ( 13,38)	4,877 1 30,481	3,626 1 22.681	2,953 f 18.4%)	1,016	1,426	16,035
TOTAL					1 6.331	1 8.831	1100.011
UMBER OF RECIPIENTS ERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	95,869 ( 13,52)	217,098 ( 30,5%)	168,295 ( 23.63)	126,950 ( 17.81)	45,245 ( 6,38)	56,676 ( 7.92)	710, 133 (100, 01)



Table 4D Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Family Income and Grant Level

Table 4D is a distribution of Social Security recipients by Family Income and Grant Level. Table 4D shows that 215,123 Social Security recipients received Basic Grant assistance during the 1977-78 academic year. Social Security recipients constituted 11.7% of the total Basic Grant recipient population.

A review of Table 4D shows that 120,619 or 56.1% of the Social Security recipients reported family incomes of \$6,000 or less. From that figure, 70,546 or 32.8% of the Social Security recipient population, reported family incomes of \$3,000 or less.

Of particular interest are the grant levels for Social Security recipients. There were 78,258, or 36.3% of Social Security recipients who received awards of \$799 or less. Another 120,434 or 55.9% of the Social Security recipients, received Basic Grant awards ranging from \$800 to \$1,399. Finally, 7.6% or 16,431 Social Security recipients received the maximum award of \$1,400.



# TABLE 4 D TABLE 4 D ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

SOCIAL SECURITY RECIPIENTS 215,123

FAMILY INCOME RANGE	1 0 -	1 400 -	GRANT LEVEL	4	<b></b> .		•
0 - 3,000	399	109	1 800 -	\$1,000 - 1,199	11,200 -	***************************************	
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	6,629	18,705 ( 26,58)	19,915	13,020		11,400	10.41
3,001 - 6,000 NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS			1 28,281	1 18.481	6,973	5,307 1,581	70, 54. ( 100. 08
TEACENI OF RECIPIENTS	4.742 1 9.481	13,316	13,803	9,413			
6,001 - 9,000 HUMBER OF RECIPIENTS			1 27.581	1 10.781	1 9.711	3,903 1 7,731	50,073 (100.03)
TENCENT OF RECEPIENTS	3,884 1 9,581	10,636	11,039	7,894			- 1401041
9,001 - 12,000 YUMBER OF RECIPIENTS			1 21.281	1 19.581	3, 865 1 9.52)	3,146	40, 464 ( 100, 08)
LACENT OF RECIPIENTS	2,870	7,699 1 27.281	71416	5,435			
12,001 - 15,000 UMBER OF RECIPIENTS			1 26.28)	1 19,281	1 9.681	2,129 1 7.58)	28, 268 (100.0 <b>5</b> )
CADEAL OF RECIPIENTS	1 10.011	4,477	4,313	3, 154			
15,001 +			1 26,311	1 19,211	1 9,481	1 7,521	16.361 16.361
ACENT OF RECIPIENTS	1,008	2,649 1 28,281	2,349	1,810			
TOTAL HOER OF RECIPIENTS			1 25,08)	1 19,241	1 9.22)	1 7.581	120   021   120   001
ACENT OF RECIPIENTS	20,776	57.482 ( 26.78)	58,832 ( 27,38)	40,726	20,876	-	
	-	-	. 611331	1 10.981	1 9.751	16,431	215,123

Table 4E Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Family Income and Grant Level

Table 4E is a distribution of all veterans who received Basic Grants by Family Income and Grant Level. Veteran recipients comprised approximately 6% of the total Basic Grant recipient population for academic year 1977-78.

Of particular interest in this Table is the number of veteran recipients reporting low family incomes. Table 4E indicates that there were 71,426 veteran recipients, which constituted 65.1% of the veteran population, reporting family incomes of \$6,000 or less. In addition, 80,877 or 73.6% of the total veteran recipient population received Basic Grant awards ranging from \$400 to \$1,199, while 5,891 or 5.3% received the maximum award of \$1,400.

## CADLE 4 E ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

VETERAN REC IPIENTS 109,654

GRANT LEVEL

-	*****	_	Amili PRACE				
FAMILY INCOME RANGE	399	1 40p - 199	\$ 800 - 999	81.000 - 1.199	\$1.200 - 1.399	11,400	TOTAL
0 - 3,000							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	6,018	13,253 6 31,171	11,169 6 26,231	7,048 ( 16.53)	2, 845 ( 6.63)	2,275 4 5.311	42,601 4100.03
3,001 - 6,000				~~~~		~~~~	
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	3,985 ( 13.84)	0,854 ( 30,72)	7,637 1 26.531	4,734 1 16,431	2,035 6 7.031	1,573 6 5.431	28, 81, \$1,00,03
6,001 - 9,000							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	2,730 1 14.011	4,042 6 31.181	5,052 ( 26.03)	3,223 1 16.681	1,325	1,022 ( 5.28)	19,394 (100.08)
9,001 ~ 12,000		~~~~					***************************************
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	1, 472 ( 13,641	3, 290 1 30,98)	2,691 1 25.3 <b>3</b> )	1,835 ( 17.28)	773 ( 7.28)	572 ( 5,38)	10, 633 1100.0 <b>3</b> 1
12,001 - 15,000							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	668	1,625	1,339 1 25,781	908 ( 17,48)	376 1 7,28)	282 . 1 5,48)	5, 196 ( 100 . 0 <b>3</b> )
15,001 +							11001041
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	143	91 <i>9</i> ( 30.6 <b>2)</b>	743 ( 24.78)	515 ( 17.18)	216 ( 7.1 <b>2</b> )	l67	3,003
TOTAL	~~~~		~~~~~				(100.01)
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	15, 316 [ 13, 98]	33, 983 ( 30, 98)	28,631 (* 26.13)	18, 263 ( 16.6E)	7,570 ( 6,98)	5,891 ( 5,38)	109, 454 (100, 08)



Table 4F Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Family Income and Grant Level

Table 4F is a distribution of dependent veteran recipients by Family Income and Grant Level. Dependent veteran recipients constituted 2.7% of the total Basic Grant recipient population.

An analysis of this Table indicates that 28,071 or 57.4% dependent veteran recipients reported family incomes of \$6,000 or less. Of these 28,071 dependent veteran recipients, 16,497 reported incomes of \$3,000 or less, and 9,198 or 55.6% received grants ranging from \$400 to \$999. Only 1,034 or 6.2% received the maximum grant.

Of all dependent veterans who reported family incomes of \$6,000 or less, 10,784 or 22% received Basic Grant awards of \$799 or less. Furthermore, 26,654 or 54.3% dependent veteran recipients received awards ranging from \$800 to \$1,399, while 2,996 or 6.1% dependent veteran recipients received the maximum Basic Grant award of \$1,400.



# TABLE 4 F DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCCHE AND GRANT LEVEL ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

CEPENDENT VETERAN RECIPIENTS 48,924

GRANT LEVEL

Parkette Spirit Spring St. St. Spring			MUNUIL FEAST				
FAMILY RACIO 3 RANGE	6 0 - 399	\$ 400 - 799	\$ 800 ~ 999	\$1,000 - 1,199	\$1,200 - 1,399	\$1,400	TOTAL
0 - 3,000							TUING
HUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	1,730   10.4 <b>1)</b>	4.668   20.28	4,530 ( 27.48)	3,022 ( 18,381	1,513 ( 9,14)	1,034 ( 6,2 <b>1</b> )	16,49 100.0 <b>3</b>
3.001 - 6,000							1100.01
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	1,165 ( 10.0%)	3,221 ( 27.8%)	3,275 ( 20.28)	2,032   17.5%	1.129	152	11,574 (100,08
4,001 - 9,000			<del></del>				1100.084
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	991 1 10.881	2,661 (29.0%)	2,519 1 27.581	1,650 ( 18.01)	805 1 8.5%	521 ( 5.6%)	9,147 (100.01)
9,001 - 12,000							1100.047
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	706 ( 11.2%)	1,871 1 29.9%)	1,613 ( 25.7 <b>%</b> )	1,115	571 ( 9.1%)	878 1 150.6	6, 254 {100.0 <b>\</b> }
12,001 ~ 15,000							*100,041
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	370 ( 10.01)	1,045	908 1 26.5%	614	292 ( 8.5%)	1 5.61	3,423 (100.0 <b>1</b> )
15,001 +							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	254 ( 12.5%)	592 1 29,181	507 [ 24.9 <b>2</b> ]	380 ( 18,73)	179 ( 8.8%)	117	2,029
TOTAL						( 5.72)	(100.01)
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	5,216 ( 10.631	14, 058 ( 28, 78)	33,352 1 27.2 <b>1</b> )	8,813 ( 18.01)	4,489 ( 9.1%)	2,996 ( 6,12)	48, 924 ' [ 100, 08]





Table 4G Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Family Income and Grant Level

Table 4G is a distribution of total independent veteran recipients by Family Income and Grant Level. Total independent veteran recipients constituted 3.3% of the total Basic Grant recipient population.

An analysis of Table 4G indicates that 43,355 or 71.4% independent veterans reported family incomes of 6,000 or less. Of this figure, 31,947 or 52.6% of independent veteran recipients received Basic Grant awards ranging from \$400 to \$1,199.

Another pertinent statistic is that 30,025 or 49.4% independent veterans received Basic Grant awards of \$799 or less; 27,810 or 45.6% received awards ranging from \$800 to \$1,399, while 2,895 or 4.7% received the maximum Basic Grant award of \$1,400.



## TABLE 4 Q DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND GRANT LEVEL ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

### INDEPENDENT VETERAN RECIPIENTS

60,730

GRANT LEVEL

			GRANT LEVEL				
FAMILY INCOME RANGE	\$ 0 - 399	\$ 400 <b>-</b> 799	\$ 800 - 999	\$1,000 - 1,199	\$1,200 - 1,399	\$1,460	TOTAL
0 ~ 3,000			,		~		
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	4,280 4 16,481	8,585 1 32,681	4,639 1 25,441	4,026 ( 15,48)	1, 332 ( 5,1 <b>3</b> )	1,241	26,111 1100.021
3,001 - 6,000							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	2,820   16.3 <b>3</b> 1	5,633 ( 32,61)	4,362 ( 25.2 <b>1</b> )	2,702 ( 15.63)	906 ( 5.2%)	821 4 4.731	17,244 (100.c3)
6,001 - 9,000							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	1,739	3,301 ( 32.91)	2,533 ( 24.73)	1,573 ( 15.38)	. 520 6 5.011	501 ( 4.8 <b>\$</b> )	10,247 (190,01)
9,001 - 12,000					~~~		
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	766 ( 17.42)	1,419 ( 32.48)	1,078 ( 24.63)	720 ( 16.4%)	202 • 4 4.68)	194 ( 4.48)	4, 379 (100.01)
12,001 - 15,000					~~~~		
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	298 ( 16.781	580 ( 32.6 <b>1</b> )	43 <u>1</u> 1 24.281	294 ( 16.58)	84 ( 4.7%)	88 129.4	1,775 (100.01)
15,001 +				•			
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	189 ( 19.4 <b>3)</b>	327 ( 33.58)	236 ( 24,2 <b>3</b> )	135 ( 13.84)	37 ( 3.71)	50 ( 5.18)	974 (100.03)
TOTAL			~				*******
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	10, 100	19, 925 ( 32.8 <b>\$</b> )	15,279 ( 25,1 <b>1</b> )	9,450 ( 15.58)	3,081 ( 5.081	2,895 ( 4.78)	60,730 ' (100.08)

 $4\dot{q}$ 

Table 5A Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Educational .

Cost Range and Grant Level

Table 5A is a distribution of all Basic Grant recipients by Educational Cost Range and Grant Level. It should be noted that the educational cost ranges are in \$300 increments after the first educational cost range of \$1,500 or less.

Table 5A indicates that 1,248,970 or 67.7% of all Basic Grant recipients attended postsecondary institutions where the educational costs ranged from \$1,501 to \$2,700. Also, 76,043 or 4.1% of all Basic Grant recipients attended postsecondary institutions where the educational costs were \$1,500 or less. These recipients received Basic Grant assistance of less than \$799.

Table 5A also shows that 300,746 or 16.3% of all Basic Grant recipients attended postsecondary institutions where the educational costs ranged from \$2,701 to \$3,600. Also \$1,321 or 11.9% of all Basic Grant recipients attended postsecondary institutions where the educational costs were \$3,601 or more.



## TABLE 5 A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY EDUCATIONAL COST RANGE AND GRANT LEVEL ALADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

GNAND TOTAL 1:046,080

GRANT LEVEL

EDUCATIONAL COST RANGE	\$ 0 - 399	\$400 - 799	\$800 - 999	11,000 -	\$1,200 -	1 44	
	377		- 777	1,199	1,399	\$1,400	TOTAL
0 - 1,500							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	22,990 ( 30,21)	53,053	1 0.01	0.01	0.01	0	76,043
					1 0,041	1 0.011	(100.03)
1,501 ~ 1,800							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	36,565 ( 13.0%)	104,678	139,493	0.00	(10.01)	0.031	280, 736 . 1100.011
1,801 - 2,100		-					1300,041
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	49,176 ( 11-12)	122,512	203,321 1 45,981	67,855	1 0.01	0.01	442,864
2,101 - 2,400		<del> </del>					
AUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	36,926	00 761	20.420	104 411			
ARCENT OF RECIPIENTS	1 10.141	89,251	38,429 ( 10,5%)	194,311	4,122	1 0.03)	363,039 (100.04)
2,401 - 2,700							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	14,454	37, 808	16,959	15,042	78,068	0	162, 331
PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	1 8,911	1 23.211	1 10.42)	1 9.281	1 48.031	1 0.031	1100.031
2,701 - 3,000							,
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	16,410	39,677	16,598	17,532	30,368	44,602	165, 187
PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	1 9,911	1 24-081	1 10.031	1 10.631	( 16.31)	1 27.011	1100.031
3,001 - 3,300				 		,	
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	7,573	18,189	8,086	8,472	9, 120	22,682	14,122
PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	1 10.211	1 24,581	1 10.931	1 11.41	1 12,311	1 30.631	(100.04)
3,301 - 3,600							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	6,292	14,572	6,580	6,949	7,274	19,770	61,437
PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	1 10.211	( 23, 18)	1 10.781	( 11.31)	( 11.03)	1 32.131	1100.03)
3,601+							<b>\</b>
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	26,824 ( 12,14)	56,256	25, 255 ( 11,4 <b>3</b> )	25,87C ( 11.7%)	30,574 ( 13.8%)	55,542 1 25.2 <b>1</b> 1	220, 321
TOTAL	, 197 6 7 1				1 13,041	1 (2)(4)	(100. (%)
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	217,210	535, 996	454,721	336,031	159,526	142,596	1,846,080
PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	( 11,71)	1 29.081	1 24.68)	1 18.231	(8,62)	( 7.73)	(100, 01)

Table 5B Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Educational Cost Range and Grant Level

Table 5B is a distribution of all dependent Basic Grant recipients by Educational Cost Range and Grant Level. It should be noted that the educational cost ranges are in \$300 increments after the first educational cost range of \$1,500 or less.

Table 5B indicates that 747,813 or 65.8% of all dependent Basic Grant recipients attended postsecondary institutions where the educational costs ranged from \$1,501 to \$2,700. Also, 32,265 or 2.8% of all dependent Basic Grant recipients attended postsecondary institutions where the educational costs were \$1,500 or less. These received Basic Grant assistance of less than \$799.

Table 5B also shows that 195,344 or 17.2% of all dependent Basic Grant recipients attended postsecondary institutions where the educational costs ranged from \$2,701 to \$3,600. Also 160,525 or 14.1% of all dependent Basic Grant recipients attended postsecondary institutions where the educational costs were \$3,601 or more.



### TABLE 5 B DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY EDUCATIONAL COST RANGE AND GRANT LEVEL ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

GEPENDENT RECIPIENTS
1,135,947

GRANT LEVEL

-			GRANT LEVEL				
EDUCATIONAL COST RANGE	\$ 0 - 399	\$400 - 799	\$800 - 999	\$1,000 - 1,199	\$1,200 - 1,399	\$1,400	TOTAL
0 - 1:500							
MUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	8,088 ( 25.0%)	24,177 ( 74.98)	( 0.05)	0.08)	0 0.05)	( 20.0 ) 0	32, 265 (100.01)
1.501 - 1.800					-		
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	16,842 ( 10,28)	56+641 ( 34•48)	91.023 ( 55.3 <b>5</b> )	( 0.0%)	( 0.01) 0	0.01	164,506 (100,04)
1.801 - 2,100							1100.041
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	25, 393 ( 10, 0\$)	67. <b>8</b> 56   26.861	118,679 ( 66.8%)	41,261   16.28)	( 0-0#) 0	0.01)	253, 189 (100. Ot)
2.101 - 2.400							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	22,552 ( 10.0%)	56,186 ( 25.01)	25,505 ( 11.3%)	117,152 1 52,281	2,633 ( 1.18)	0.011	224.028 4100.031
21401 - 2,700		~~~~~		-			
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	9,383	25,094 ( 23,64)	11,122 ( 10,44)	10,149 1 9,581	50, 342 ( 47, 48)	0 1 0.021	106,090
2,701 - 3,000							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	10,870	27, 141 1 24, 581	1 10.00	11,717 1 10.511	23, 029 1 20, 011	26,424 1 23.81)	1 10,561 ( 100,0 <b>1</b> )
3,001 - 3,300							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	4, 374 ( 9, 91)	10, 590 1 24, 011	5,079 ( 11.54)	5,069 ( 11.51)	6,448 ( 14.62)	12,440	44,000 (100,02)
3,301 - 3,600							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	4,178	9,634	4,549 ( 11,28)	4,620 ( 11.38)	5, \$02 ( 14,28)	11,980	40, 783
3,601+						1 471341	(100/02)
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	19,661	41,579 ( 25,98)	19,069 ( 11.81)	19,113	26, 027 ( 16, 2 <b>8</b> )	35,076 ( 21,88)	160,525 (100.08)
TOT AL							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	121,341 ( 10.6 <b>2</b> )	3 L5, 89 8 ( 28, 08)	286,426 ( 25.28)	209,081	114,281 ( 10.08)	85,920 ( 7.58)	1,135,947 (100.08)

ERIC

50

Table 5C Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Educational Cost Range and Grant Level

Table 5C is a distribution of all independent Basic Grant recipients by Educational Cost Range and Grant Level. It should be noted that the educational cost ranges are in \$300 increments after the first educational cost range of \$1,500 or less.

Table 5C indicates that 501,157 or 70.6% of all independent Basic Grant recipients attended postsecondary institutions where the educational costs ranged from \$1,501 to \$2,700. Also, 43,778 or 6.2% of all independent Basic Grant recipients attended postsecondary institutions where the educational costs were \$1,500 or less. These recipients received Basic Grant assistance of less than \$799.

Table 5C also shows that 105,402 or 14.8% of all independent Basic Grant recipients attended postsecondary institutions where the educational costs ranged from \$2,701 to \$3,600. Also 59,796 or 8.4% of all independent Basic Grant recipients attended postsecondary institutions where the educational costs were \$3,601 or more.



### TABLE 5 C DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY EDUCATIONAL COST RANGE AND GRANT LEVEL ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS 710,133

GRANT LEVEL

EDUCATIONAL COST RANGE	\$ 0 - 399	\$400 <b>~</b> 799	\$800 <b>-</b> 999	\$1,000 - 1,199	\$1,200 - 1,399	\$1.400	TOTAL
0 - 1,500						W-1. <del>Wangaran an</del>	
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	14,902 1 34.0 <b>4</b> 1	20,876 ( 65.98)	1 0.011	0.011	( 0.02)	0.01	43,778 1100.07J
1,501 - 1,800		,					
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	19,723 1 16,9 <b>3</b> 1	48,037 ( 41,31)	48,470 ( 41.74)	1 0.01) 0	0.021	0.011	116,230 1100.031
1,801 - 2,100		<del></del>	<del></del>				
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECUPIENTS	23, 783 ( 12, 5%)	54,656 ( 28.8%)	84.642 1.44.611	26, 594 1 14-011	( 0.03)	0.081	189,675 (100.0 <b>3</b> )
2,101 - 2,400							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	14,374   10,351	33,065 ( 23,71)	12.924 6 9.231	77, 159 ( 55, 54)	1,489 1 1.08)	0 ( 0.01)	. 139,011 (100.01)
2,401 - 2,700			***				<del></del>
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	5,071 1 9.0%)	12,714 1 22,671	5.837 1 10.311	4, 893 ( 8, 74)	27, 726 ( 49, 24)	0.011	56,241 1100.031
2,701 - 3,000			**************************************			~	<del></del>
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	5,540 ( 10.12)	12,536 ( 22,911	5,218 1 9,541	5, 815 ( 10.61)	7,339 6 13.481	. 10,170 1 33,231	54 <sub>1</sub> .626 1100.0 <b>3</b> )
3,001 - 3,300						<del></del>	<del></del>
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	3, 199 ( 10.6%)	7,599 1 25.241	3,007 1 9.98)	3,403 1 11.21)	2,672 ( 8,83)	10,242 ( 34.08)	30,122 (100.0%)
3,301 - 3,600					<del></del>		
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF REGIPLENTS	2,114 1 10,231	4.938 1 23.9 <b>1</b> 1	2,011 ( 9.73)	2,329 ( 11.21)	1,472 ( 7.1%)	7,790 ( 37,78)	20, 654 (100.03)
3,601+					~		
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	7, 163 1 11.932	14,677 1 24,581	6,186 ( 10,3 <b>1</b> )	4,757 ( 21,38)	4, 547 ( 7.68)	20,466 ( 34.2 <b>%</b> )	59,196 (100,02)
tot al							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	95.869 1 13.511	217,096	1v8,295 ( 23.68)	126,950 ( 17.83)	45,245	56,676 ( 7.98)	710,133 (100.0 <b>3</b> )

Table 6A Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index Range and Grant Level

Table 6A is a distribution of total Basic Grant recipients by Student Eligibility Index Range and Grant Level. The grant levels show ranges of awards up to and including the maximum award of \$1,400. The student eligibility indices are distributed in 200 point ranges except for the first category which indicates the number of recipients who received zero student eligibility indices.

Table 6A indicates that 925,017 or 50.1% of the total recipient population had student eligibility indices of zero, with 69.3% of those recipients with zero student eligibility indices receiving Basic Grant awards ranging from \$400 to \$1,199. In addition, 142,596 recipients, which constituted 7.7% of the total recipient population, received the maximum grant of \$1,400.

Furthermore, 401,330 recipients or 21.7% had student eligibility indices ranging from 1 to 400 and received Basic Grant awards of \$1,399 or less. Also, 417,372 recipients, which constituted 22.6% of the total recipient population, had student eligibility indices ranging from 401 to 1,000, and they received awards of \$999 or less. There were 102,361 or 5.5% of the total number of recipients who had eligibility indices of 1,001 to 1,200. These recipients received awards of \$799 or less.



### TABLE 6 A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE AND GRANT LEVEL ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

GRAND TOTAL

1,646,080

GRANT LEVEL

STUDENT EL IGIBILITY INDEX RANGE	\$ 0 - 399	\$400 - 199	\$800 - 999	\$1,000 - 1,199	\$1,200 - 1,399	\$1'•400	YOTAL
0							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PEACENT OF RECIPIENTS	55,672 ( 6.0 <b>8</b> )	207,112 1 21-381	243.668 1 26.381	192,228 ( 20.1%)	83, 741 ( 9-08)	142,596 ( 15,4 <b>%</b> )	\$25,017 (100.01)
l - 200							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	0.824 ( 3.68)	40,918 ( 16,9 <b>1</b> )	50,115 ( 24,1¥)	57.282 ( 23.7%)	75.785 ( 31.4%)	1 9.021	240,924 (100.01)
201 - 400							
MUMBER OF RECIPLENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	6,055 1 4-281	28,888 ( L0.01)	38,142 ( 23,7%)	86,52l ( 53.9%)	1 0 011 0	0 (80.0 )	160,406 (100.0%)
401 - 600			<del></del>				
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	6,832 { 4,5\$1	29,103 ( 19.31)	114.792 1 76.131	( 0.0%;	( 0.01)	( 0.01)	150,807 (100.03)
601 - 800				<del></del>			
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	16,418 1 11.6%	125.024 1 88-311	4 2 0.081	( 0.02) 0	0.011	. ( 0.081	141,448 (100-01)
801 - 1000			يكلينا مرياها والموادر والموادر والمالية	<del></del>			
NUMBER OF RECEPIENTS PERCENT OF RECEPIENTS	20,251 ( 16-14)	104,866 1 83,811	1 0.01)	( <b>0.01</b> )	( 0.0%)	(, 0-0£1	125,117 1100.081
1001 - 1200							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	102,358 6 99.931	3 4 0.011	0.01	( 0.0£) 0	0.01	0.033	102,361 (100,081
· TOT AL							1
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	217,210 1 11,731	535,996 ( 29.0%)	454.721 1 24.681	336,031 4 18.211	159, 526 ( 8.6%)	142,594 1 7.781	1.046.080 (100.08)



56

57

Table 6B Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index Range and Grant Level

Table 6B is identical to table 6A with the exception that this table was produced for dependent recipients only. Table 6B indicates that 465,821 dependent recipients, or 41% of the total number of dependent recipients, had eligibility indices of zero. Of those dependent recipients with zero eligibility indices, 313,185 dependent recipients or 67.1% received Basic Grant awards ranging from \$400 to \$1,199, while 85,920 dependent recipients or 18.4% received the maximum award of \$1,400.

In addition, 302,664 dependents or 26.6% had student eligibility indices ranging from 1 to 400. Also, 294,220 or 25.9% of the dependent recipients had eligibility indices ranging from 401 to 1,000, and 73,242 or 6.4% had eligibility indices ranging from 1,001 to 1,200.

As Table 6B shows, 440,239 dependent recipients received awards of \$799 or less. These recipients comprised 38.6% of the total dependent recipient population. In addition, 609,788 or 53.6% of the dependent recipients received awards ranging from \$800 to \$1,399, and 85,920 or 7.5% of the dependent recipient population received the maximum award of \$1,400.

### TABLE 6 B DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY LADEX RANGE AND GRANT LEVEL ACADENIC YEAR 1977-78

#### CEPENDENT RECEPIENTS

1,135,947

GRANT LEVEL

Charles and the second	and the second s						
STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE	\$ 0 - 399	\$400 - 799	\$800 <b>-</b> 999	\$1,000 - 1,199	\$1,200 - 1,359	\$1,400	TCTAL
0							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	17.425 ( 3.78)	86,972 ( 18.61)	128,651 6 27.641	97,562 ( 20,91)	49,291 ( 10.54)	85,920 1 18.47)	465,821 (100.04)
1 - 200							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	5,373 ( 2-88)	20,614 ( 15.01)	45,758 4 24.041	45,271	64,990 1 34,281	0-01).	190,004 (100.03)
201 - 400			~~~ <u>, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	<del> </del>			
AUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	3,310 ( 2,911	. 16, 916 1 15-081	26, 184 ( 23.24)	66.248 ( 58.81)	1 0.01	0-011	112,65 <b>4</b> 1100.021
401 - 600	,					<del></del>	<del></del>
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	3,136 6 2,981	16,805 1 15,81)	85,831 (81,18)	0,01	0 0,041	1 0-011	105,772 4100.0 <b>t</b> j
601 - 800		~~~~					<del></del>
MUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	8,108 (\$5,8	90,364 ( 91.78)	2 6 0,031	0.01	0.031	0 ( 4.01)	58,474 (100.01)
801 - 1000							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	10,747 { 11.981	79,227 1 88.011	0.02)	0 (10.0 1	0 0.021	. 0.081	89,974 (100.03)
1001 - 1200							<del>~~~</del>
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	73, 242 1100.031	0,08)	0 0.021	0 (50.0 )	0 (0.01)	. 0 ( 150.0 )	73, 242 (100.08)
101AL			<del></del>				1
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	121,341 1 10.6 <b>3</b> 1	3 La, 898 1 29.03)	286,426 ( 25.28)	209,081 ( 18.42)	114,261 6 10.08)	45,920 ( 1.54)	1,135,547 (100.08)



59

Table 6C Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index Range and Grant Level

Table 6C was produced for independent recipients who are distributed by Student Eligibiltly Index Range and Grant Level.

This Table shows that 459,196 or 64.7% of independent recipients had student eligibility indices of zero. Of these independent recipients with zero student eligibility indices, 364,273 or 79.2% received grants ranging from \$400 to \$1,399, with 56,676 or 12.3% receiving the maximum grant.

Furthermore, 98,666 or 13.9% of the independent recipients had eligibility indices ranging from 1 to 400; 123,152 or 17.3% had eligibility indices ranging from 401 to 1000; and 29,119 or 4.1%, had student eligibility indices ranging from 1001 to 1200.

## DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE AND GRANT LEVEL ACADEMIC YEAR 1917-78

INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS.

710,133

GRANT LEVEL

All the same	<del></del>		GRANT LEVEL				
STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE	399	\$400 - 799	1800 - 999	\$1,000 - 1,199	\$1.200 - 1.399	41 400	***********
0				<del></del>		11,400	TOTAL
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	30,247 1 0.38)	120, 140 1 26, 131	115,017 ( 25.0 <b>2)</b>	94,666	34,450 ( 7.52)	36,676	459, 19
1 ~ 500						( 12.31)	(100,02
MUHBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	3,451 ( 6,7 <b>2)</b>	12,304 ( 24,18)	12,357 1 24,28)	12,011 ( 23,58)	10, 795	0	50,51
201 - 400					1 21.281	1 0,0\$1	1100.05
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	3,545 ( 7,48)	11,972 ( 25,0 <b>3</b> )	11,958 4 25,081	20,273 ( 42,48)	0	0	47,748
401 - 600		~~~~	~~~~	76176	1 0.011	1 0.01	(100-05)
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	3.696 ! 8.281	12,370 1 27,481	20.961 6 64.381	0	0		45,035
601 - 800			~~~~~~	1 0.01	1 0.011	1 0.021	1100.011
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	8,310 ( 19.314	34,662 1 80.621	2 1 0.011	1 0.02	0	0	42,974
801 - 1000					0,011	1 0.02)	(100.02)
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	9, 504 1 27.011	25,639 ( 72,9 <b>1</b> )	0 0.02)	0,00	0	0	35, 143
1001 - 1500					1 0,01)	1 '0.021	1100:021
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	29, 116 1 99, 9 <b>1)</b>	3 0.021	0 0.03)	0 (20,0 )	0	0	29, 119
TOTAL					1 0.01	1 0.081	. (100.05)
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	95, 869 ( 13, 51)	217,098 1 30,5%1	168, 295 1 23, 68)	126,950 1 17,881	45,245 ( 6,38)	56,676 ( 7.9%)	710,133



Table 7A Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index Range and Type of Institution

Table 7A shows the distribution of Basic Grant recipients by Student Eligibility Index and Type of Institution. The categories of institutions presented in this table are identical to those reported on the Institutional Progress Report.

Of the 1,846,080 Basic Grant recipients, 793,628 attended universities and 623,754 attended two-year institutions. The Basic Grant recipients enrolled at these two types of institutions accounted for over 75% of all recipients.

As Table 7A shows, 359,612 or approximately 45% of the Basic Grant recipients enrolled in universities had student eligibility indices of zero. Another 111,644 or 14.1% of the recipients enrolled in universities had a recipient eligibility indices in the 1 to 200 range. In comparison, 356,700 or approximately 57% of the recipients enrolled in two-year institutions had student eligibility indices of zero and 72,451 or approximately 12% had student eligibility indices in the 1 to 200 range.



### TABLE 7 A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

GRAND TOTAL

1,846,080

TYPE OF INSTITUTION,

STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEK RANGE	UNIVERSITIES	OTHER 4 YEARS	2 YEARS	L YEAR BUT LESS THAN 2 YEARS	6 MONTHS BUT LESS THAN 1 YEAR	CTHEA	TOYAL
0							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	359,612	136,233	356,986	22, 202	49,904	0	925,017
1 - 200							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	111,644	45.517	72,451	3,833	7,479	0	240,924
201 - 400							
AUNBER OF RECIPIENTS	74,873	30 • 515	47,554	2,518	4,036	0	160,406
401 - 600						<del></del>	
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	70,915	29 • 55 8	44,207	2, 189	3,938	٥	150,807
601 - 800							····
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	66,394	28, 217	410081	2 • 080	3,676	0	141,448
601 - 1000							<del></del>
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	59,828	25. 806	34,840	1.775	2,868	١	125,117
1001 - 1200							<del></del>
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	50,362	21.944	26,635	1, 385	2,035	0	105,361
FOTAL			<del></del>				<del></del>
NUMBER C. FECIPIENTS	193,628	317,790	623,754	36 • 127	74,786	. 0	1.846,080



65

Table 7B Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Student. Eligibility Index Range and Type of Institution

Table 7B indicates the distribution of dependent Basic Grant recipients by Student Eligibility Index Range and Type of Institution.

Dependent Basic Grant recipients show the same trends in enrollment and student eligibility index as the total recipient population. As indicated by the table, 536,455 or approximately 47% were enrolled in universities and 326,624 or almost 29% were enrolled in two-year institutions, thus accounting for approximately 76% of the dependent recipients.

Of the 536,455 dependent recipients enrolled in universities, 207,034 or 38.6% had student eligibility indices of zero and 91,097 or 17% had student eligibility indices in the 1 to 200 range. This same trend is shown in two-year institutions, with 152,825 or 46.8% of the 326,624 dependent recipients having student eligibility indices of zero and 53,708 or 16.4% with student eligibility indices in the 1 to 200 range.



### TABLE 7 B DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION ACADEMIC YEAR 1577-78

#### CEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

1,135,947

#### TYPE OF INSTITUTION

STUDENT EL IGIBILITY INDEX RANGE	UNIVERSITIES	OTHER 4 YEARS	2 Years	1 YEAR BUT LESS THAN 2 YEARS	6 MONTHS But less than I year	OTHER	TOTAL
0					~~~~		
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	207,034	79, 894	152,825	9,517	16,551	٥	165,821
1 - 200							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	91,097	38, 357	53,708	2,655	4,189	٥	190,506
201 - 400							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	55,150	23,694	29,651		2,405	٥	112,658
401 ~ 60¢							~~~~~
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	52,014	22, 979	27,514	1,321	1,944	0	105, 172
601 ~ 800							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	48, 744	22,078	24,633	1,174	1,845	o	\$8,474
801 - 1000							
AUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	44,937	20, 604	21,689	1,088	1,456	a	89,976
1001 - 1200							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	37,479	17,571	16,404	817	971	·, o	73,242
TOT AL					~~~~		
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	536,455	225,381	326,624	18,126	29, 361	0	1,135,547



Table 70

Listribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index Range and Type of Institution

- Table 7C shows the distribution of independent Basic Grant recipients by Student Eligibility Index and Type of Institution.

As indicated by Table 7C, 297,130 independent recipients were enrolled in two-year institutions, which accounted for 41.8% of the independent recipients. In addition, 257,173 or approximately 36% of the independent recipients were enrolled in universities. Altogether, these two types of institutions accounted for over 78% of the independent recipients.

Of the 297,130 independent recipients enrolled in two-year institutions, 204,161 or 68.7% had student eligibility indices of zero. In addition, 152,578 or more than 59% of the 257,173 independent recipients in universities had student eligibility indices of zero.

### TABLE 7 C DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

#### INCENTIAL RECIPIENTS

710,133

#### TYPE OF INSTITUTION

STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE	LATIVERSITIES	OTHER 4 VEARS	2 Years	1 YEAR BUT LESS THAN 2 YEARS	6 HONTHS But less than 1 year	OTHER	TUTAL
0						<del></del> -	<del></del>
MUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	152,578	56, 339	204, 161	12,765	33,353	0	459, 196
1 - 200				· ————————————————————————————————————			,
NUMBER OF RECIPSENTS	20,547	7.160	18,743	1, 176	3,290	0	50,918
201 - 400							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	19,723	6,817	17,703	1:024	2,481	0	47,748
401 - 600		_					<del></del>
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	18,901	6,579	16,693	868	1,994	0	45,035
601 - 800							
NUMBER OF RECEPTENTS	17,650	6,139	16,448	906	1, 031	0	42,974
601 - 1000							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	14, 891	7,002	13,151	687	1,412	0	35, 143
1001 - 1200							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	12,883	4,373	10, 231	560	1,064	. 0	29,119
TOT AL						·	
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	257,173	92,409	297,130	17,996	45,425	0	710,133



Table 8A Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients and Average Grant by Type of Institution and Grant Level .

Table 8A indicates the distribution of Basic Grant recipients and average grant by Type of Institution and Grant Level.

As indicated by Table 8A, 793,628 or approximately 43% of the Basic Grant recipients enrolled in universities. Some 203,430 or 25.6% of these recipients received grants in the \$1,000 to \$1,199 range and another 196,826 or 24.8% received grants in the \$800 to \$999 range. An additional 186,353 or 23.5% of the recipients enrolled in universities received grants ranging from \$400 to \$799. Grants ranging from \$400 to \$1,199 were received by 73.9% of these recipients. The average grant at universities was \$900.

However, the trends for the 623,754 or 33.8% of the recipients enrolled at two-year institutions were somewhat different. Some 238,542 or 38.2% received grants in the \$400 to \$799 range. Also, 197,283 or 31.6% received grants in the \$800 to \$999 range and 96,137 or 15.4% received grants of \$399 or less. Therefore, 85.3% of the Basic Grant recipients who attended two-year institutions received grants of \$999 or less. The average grant at two-year institutions was \$747.

Of the 1,846,080 recipients, 535,996 or 29% received grants ranging from \$400 to \$799, 454,721 or 24.6% received grants ranging from \$800 to \$999 and another 336,031 or 18.2% received grants in the \$1,000 to \$1,199 range. Approximately 72% of the recipients received grants ranging from \$400 to \$1,199.



# TABLE 8 A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS AND AVENAGE GRANT BY TYPE OF INSTITUTION AND GRANT LEVEL ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

GRAND TOTAL

1,846,080

CRANT LEVEL

	1.0		GRANT LEVEL				
TYPE OF INSTITUTION	399	\$400 - 799	\$800 <b>-</b> 999	\$1,000 - 1,199	\$1,200 - 1,399	\$1,400	
UNIVERSITIES						71 9700	TOTAL
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS AVERAGE GRANT	71,272	186,353	196,826	203,430	85,032	50,715	1
OTHER 4 YEAR							\$ 90
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS AVERAGE GRANT	31, 206	75,486	45,002	64,746	45,010	55,540	317,790
2 YEAR							\$953
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS AVERAGE GRANT	96, 137	230, 542	197,283	50,835	17,130	23,027	422 964
L YR BUT LESS THAN 2 YRS							623,754 \$747
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS AVERAGE GRANT	6,657	11,763	5,199	4,626	2,448	5,429	
S MONTHS BUT LESS THAN I YR						-,167	36, 122 \$ 809
MUMBER OF RECIPIENTS AVERAGE GRANT	11,938	23, 852	. 10,411	12,394	9,106	7,085	74,786
OTHER						·	\$824
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS AVERAGE GRANT	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL							0 \$0
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	217, 210	535, 996	454, 728	214 621			
				336,C31	159,526	142,596	1,846,080



Table 8B Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients and Average Grant by Type of Institution and Grant Level

Table 8B shows the distribution of dependent Basic Grant recipients and average grant by Type of Institution and Grant Level.

As Table 8B indicates, 536,455 or approximately 47% of the dependent recipients enrolled in universities. Over 73% of those dependent recipients enrolled in universities received grants ranging from \$400 to \$1,199, with 127,767 or 23.8% in the \$400 to \$799 range. There were 140,058 or 26.1% in the \$800 to \$999 range, and another 128,409 or 23.9% received grants in the \$1,000 to \$1,199 range. The average grant for dependent recipients enrolled in universities was \$898.

As shown in Table 8B, of the 326,624 dependent recipients enrolled in two-year institutions, 122,261 received grants ranging from \$400 to \$799 and another 107,817 received grants ranging from \$800 to \$999. The average grant at two-year institutions for dependent recipients was \$766.

Moreover, of the 1,135,947 dependent recipients, 318,898 received grants in the \$400 to \$799 range. Another 286,426 received grants in the \$800 to \$999 range and an additional 209,081 received grants ranging from \$1,000 to \$1,199. Approximately 72% of the dependent recipients received grants ranging from \$400 to \$1,199.

# TABLE 8 8 DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS AND AVERAGE GRANT BY TYPE CF INSTITUTION AND GRANT LEVEL ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

### DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

1,135,947

GRANT LEVEL

	7-		GRANT LEVEL				
TYPE OF INSTITUTION	\$ 0 -·	\$400 - 799	\$800 - 999	\$1,000 - 1,199	\$1,200 - 1,399	\$1,400	TOTAL
UNIVERSITIES NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS AVERAGE GRANT	47, 363	127, 767	140,058	128,409	61,063	31,795	536, 45: \$898
OTHER 4 YEAR MUMBER OF RECIPIENTS AVERAGE GRANT	22, 233	53, 953	31,690	45,036	36,593	35,676	225, 38 \$952
2 YEAR NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS AVERAGE GRANT	43,706	122,261	107,817	28,511	:1,595	12,734	326, 624 \$ 166
L YR BUT LESS THAN 2 YRS AUMBER OF RECIPEENTS AVERAGE GRANT	3,333	5, 846	2,537	2,321	1.336	2,747	18, 126 \$815
MONTHS BUT LESS THAN 1 YR NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS AVERAGE GRANT	4,706	9, 071	4,124	4,798	3,696	2,968	20,361 \$830
OTHER  NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS  AVERAGE GRANT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	121,341	316,898	286,426	209,081	114,201	85,920	1,135,947



Table 8C Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients and Average Grant by Type of Institution and Grant Level

Table 8C shows the distribution of independent Basic Grant recipients and average grant by Type of Institution and Grant Level.

As indicated by Table 8C, 297,130 of the independent recipients were enrolled in two-year institutions. Of these independent recipients, 116,281 received grants ranging from \$400 to \$799 and 89,466 received grants ranging from \$800 to \$999. The average grant at two-year institutions for independent recipients was \$726.

Another 257,173 independent recipients were enrolled in universities, with an average grant of \$904. Of these independent recipients, 58,586 received grants ranging from \$400 to \$799, 56,768 received grants in the \$800 to \$999 range and another 75,021 received grants ranging from \$1,000 to \$1,199.

### TABLE 8 C DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS AND AVERAGE GRANT BY TYPE OF INSTITUTION AND GRANT LEVEL ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

### INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

710,133

GRANT LEVEL

TYPE OF INSTITUTION	\$ 0 - 399	8400 - 799	\$800 <b>-</b> 999	\$1,000 - 1,199	\$1,200 - 1,359	\$1.400	TOTAL
UNIVERSITIES MUNBER OF RECIPIENTS AVERAGE GRANT	23, 909	58,586	56,768	75,021	23,969	18,920	257 <sub>+</sub> 173 \$904
OTHER 4 YEAR MUMBER OF RECIPIENTS AVERAGE GRANT	0,973	21,533	13,112	19,710	9,217	19,864	92, 409 8957
2 YEAR NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS AVERAGE GRANT	52,431	116,281	89.466	22,324	5,535	11,093	257, 130 \$726
I YR BUT LESS THAN 2 YRS MUHBER OF RECIPIENTS AVERAGE GRANT	3, 324	5, 917	2,662	2,299	1,112	2,602	17,996 \$802
NONTHS BUT LESS THAN 1 YR  AUMBER OF RECIPIENTS  AVERAGE GRANT	7,232	14, 781	6.287	7,596	5,412	4,117	45, 425 \$ 819
OTHER NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS AVERAGE GRANT	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0
' TOTAL NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	95,869	217,098	168, 295	126,950	45,245	56,676	710,133



Table 94 Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index Range and Educational Cost Range

Table 9A shows the distribution of Basic Grant recipiencs by Student Eligibility Index Range and Educational Cost Range.

A total of 925,017 Basic Grant recipients had student eligibility indices of zero, which accounted for approximately 50% of the recipient population. Of these recipients, 231,118 or 24.9% attended institutions with educational costs of \$1,801 to \$2,100. Another 164,000 or 17.7% enrolled in institutions with educational costs ranging from \$2,101 to \$2,400, and an additional 161 349 or 17.4% attended institutions with educational costs ranging from \$1,501 to \$1,800. Therefore, 60% of the recipients with zero student eligibility indices enrolled in institutions with educational costs ranging from \$1,501 to \$2,400.

Table 9A also shows that 442,864 or 23.9% of the total Basic Grant recipients were enrolled in institutions with educational costs ranging from \$1,801 to \$2,100. In addition, 58.7% of the total recipients enrolled in institutions with educational costs ranging from \$1,501 to \$2,400. However, 220,321 or 11.9% of the recipients were enrolled in institutions with educational costs of more than \$3,600. It is interesting to note that, of the 442,864 recipients enrolled in institutions with educational costs ranging from \$1,801 to \$2,100, 231,118 or approximately 52% had student eligibility indices of zero.

### VABLE 9 A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILETY INDEX HANGE AND ECUCATIONAL CEST RANGE ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

SALD TOTAL

1,846,080

#### EDUCATIONAL COST RANGE

					- حدد بصابح					
STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE	0 - 1500	1501 - 1800	1801 -	2101 - 2400	2401 - 2700	2701 - 3000	3001 - 3300	3301 - 3600	3601+	TCTAL
0				<b> </b>		<del></del>				
NUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	47,368 ( 5-12)	161,249 ( 17,48)	231,116 ( 24,98)	166,030 ( 17.78)	83,957 1 9,011	03,533 (9.08)	40,619 { 4.33}	3C, 135 ( 3.3 <b>1</b> )	#2,408 ( 0.93)	\$25,C17 1100.031
1 - 200		<del></del>		<b></b>	<b> </b>	<b></b>		<b></b>		<b></b>
NUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	8 <b>- 1 0 2</b>	33,180 ( 13,75)	55,627 ( 23.08)	49,285 ( 20.48)	21,00t 1 8.72)	22,100 ( 9,21)	9,362 1 5.88)	8,315 ( 3,42)	33,751 ( 14,0 <b>1</b> )	240,924 (100.01)
201 ~ 400			<b></b>		<b> </b>	<b></b>				
NUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	5,577 ( 3,4%)	22,049 ( 13,75)	37,043 ( 23.08)	34,439 ( 21,48)	13,442 1 8.32)	13,927 ( 8,68)	6,001 ( 3,7 <b>5</b> )	5,360 ( 3,38)	22,540 ( 14,03)	160,406
401 - 600							~~~~	~~~~		······
NUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	4,931	20,447   13,581	35,437 ( 23,4\$)	32,791 ( 21,781	12,205 ( 8.01)	12,806   8,481	5,371 ( 3,581	4,512 ( 3,28)	21,907 ( 14,58)	150,407 (100.08)
601 - 800	~~~~							•		
NUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	4,259	17.849 ( 12.68)	32.073 1 23.211	31,221 1 22,081	11,684 ( 8.28)	12,442   8,781	4,974 ( 3,5 <b>2</b> )	4,416 ( 3,28)	21,590 ( 15,28)	141,448 (100.08)
801 - 1000								~	~~~~	
NUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	3,218 1 2,5\$1	14,693	28.740 1 22.981	27.601 1 22.231	10.040	10,949 [ 8,78]	4,277 ( 3,48)	4,089 [ 3,21)	20,502 ( 16,38)	125,117 (100.08)
1001 - 1200										
NUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	2,508 1 2,483	11.261	22,026	23,412	84518 180.8	9.342 ( 9.18)	3,598 ( 3.4%)	3,390 4 3,311	17,615 ( 17,28)	102, 361 (100.0 <b>x</b> )
TOTAL	~~~~					·				
HUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	76,043 1 4,131	200,736 1 15.211	442,864 1 23.981	363,039 1 19.641	162,331 1 4.741	165,187	74.122	61,437	220,321 ( 11.9%)	1.846.080 '(100.081



Table 9B Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index Range and Educational Cost Range

Table 9B indicates the distribution of dependent Basic Grant recipients by Student Eligibility Index Range and Educational Cost Range.

As shown in Table 9B, 465,821 or 41% of the dependent recipients had student eligibility indices of zero. Of these dependent recipients, 107,607 or 23.1% were enrolled in institutions with educational costs ranging from \$1,801 to \$2,100.

Also, 253,189 or 22.2% of all dependent recipients were enrolled in institutions with educational costs ranging from \$1,801 to \$2,100. Within this cost category, 107,607 or approximately 42% had student eligibility indices of zero.



DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE AND ECUCATIONAL COST RANGE
ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

### DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

1,135,947

### EDUCATIONAL COST RANGE

STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE	1500	1501 - 1800	1801 -	2101 - 2400	2401 - 2700	3000	3001 - 3300	3301 - 3600	3601+	TOTAL
O NUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	15,840	05,327	107,607	81,032	47,487	45,724	19,385	16,778	<b> </b>	-
1 - 200	1 3.481	1 10.351	1 23.141	1 17.381	1 10.181	1 10.011	1 4,18)	1 3.54;	1 9.02:	165,82
NUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	51610 1 2.9%)	25,599 1 13,441	43.047 1 22.641	37,958 1 19,9\$1	16,600	18,108	7,12¢	6,830	29,130 ( 15.38)	190,000
201 - 40C					<b></b>	<b></b>		~~~~	1 130341	(100.02
NUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	3,109	14:416	24,589 1 21.8%)	23.881 ( 21.1%)	9,652	10,417	4,222	4,109	18,263 ( 16,28)	112,658
401 - 600								<b> </b>		
NUMBER RECIPEENTS PERCENT RECI FATS	2,639 4 2.411	12,958	23,223 1 21,911	22.837 1 21.511	0,860 1 0.38)	9,798	3,87L ( 3.6%)	3,752 ( 3,58)	17.894	105,17
ترا - 601									1 16.981	1100.08
NUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	2.14. 1 2.188	10,795	21,083	21,797   22,131	8,489 ( 8,6%)	9,494	3,519 ( 3.5 <b>8</b> )	3,505 ( 3,5%)	17,650 1 17,981	98,474 (100.0%)
801 - 1000					~~~~	~~~~	~~~~			1 200 001
NUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	1,727	8,846 ( 9.8%)	19,138 ( 21.2 <b>8</b> )	19,861 1 22,021	8,184 ( 9.0%)	8,650 ( 9,63)	3,254 ( 3.68)	3,212 ( 3,51)	17,102 1 19,0 <b>3</b> 1	89,974 (100.01)
1001 - 1200					~~~~					1100.061
UMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECOPIENTS	1,198	6,565	14,502 ( 19,82)	16,662	6,948	7 e310	2,625 1 3.531	2,667	14,705	13,242
TOT AL				~~~~ <u>`</u>				1 3.611	1 20.031	1100.011
IUMBER REC IPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	32,265 ( 2.8 <b>5</b> )	164,506 ( 14,48)	253,189 ( 22,28)	224,028 ( 19.7%)	106,090	110,561	44,000 ( 3.88)	40,783 ( 3.3 <b>%</b> )	160,525 ( 14.1 <b>3</b> )	i,135,947 4100.011

86

87

3

Table 9C Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index Range and Educational Cost Range

Table 9C shows the distribution of independent Basic Grant recipients by Student Eligibility Index Range and Educational Cost Range.

As Table 9C indicates, 459,196 independent recipients had zero student eligibility indices, of which 123,511 or 26.8% were enrolled in institutions with educational costs ranging from \$1,801 to \$2,100.

As shown in Table 9C, 189,675 or 26.7% of the independent recipients were enrolled in institutions with educational costs ranging from \$1,801 to \$2,100. Of this number, 123,511 or approximately 65% had zero student eligibility indices, while only 7,524 or approximately 4% had student eligibility indices in the 1,001 to 1,200 range.

### TABLE 9 C DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE AND EDUCATIONAL CCST RANGE ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

#### INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

710,133

#### EDUCATIONAL COST RANGE

STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX RANGE	1500	1501 -	1801 - 2100	2101 - 2400	2401 ~ 2700	3000	3001 - 3300	3301 ~ 3600	36011	TOTAL
O MUNBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	31,520 ( 6,88)	75,922 ( 16,5 <b>\$</b> )	123,511 1 26.68)	82,998	36,540 ( 7.92)	36,809 ( 8,03)	21,234	14,027	36,627	459, 196 (100,03)
1 - 200						<b></b>				11001010
NUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	21572 ( 5.04)	7,589 ( 14,98)	12,560	11,327	4:406 ( 8:61)	4,080 ( 8,08)	2,258 1 4.48)	1,485	4,621	50,514
201 - 400						<b></b>				
NUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	2,468	7,633 1 15.981	12,454 1 26.081	10.558	3,790 ( 7,98)	3,510 17,381	1,779	1,271	4,285	47, 748
401 - 600										
NUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	21292 1 5.0\$1	7,489 , 16-631	12,214	9,954	3,405 ( 7,511	8,000 1 6,611	1,500 ( 3,38)	1,160 1 2,581	4,013 ( 8,98)	45, 035 (100, C1)
601 - 600		~~~~	~~~~		<del></del>	~~~~				
NUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	2,117 1 4.931	7,054 6 16.411	11,790	91424 1 21.981	3,135 ( 7,21)	2,940 ( 6.88)	1 ,455 ( 3,38)	1,111 ( 2,58)	3,940 ( 9,18)	42, 974 (100, 0E)
801 - 1000			~~~~							
NUMBER RECIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	1,491	5,847 1 16.64)	9,602 1 27,3 <b>3</b> 1	7,946	2+664 4 7-521	2,299 ( 6,5\$)	1.023	677 ( 2,4%),	3,400 ( 9,68)	35,143 (100,08)
1001 - 1200				~~~~	~~~~		~~~~~			
NUMBER RECEPTENTS PERCENT RECEPTENTS	1,310	4,696	7,524 1 25.831	6,010 1 \$3,511	2,301 1' 7.93)	1,972	873	723 ( 2.481	6 3.921 5.910	25,119 (100.0 <b>1</b> )
TOTAL									••••••	
NUMBER RÉCIPIENTS PERCENT RECIPIENTS	43,778	116,230	189,675	139,011 1 19.585	56,241 1 7.921	54.626 1 7.631	30,122	20.654 4 2.91)	59,79A 1 8448	760.133 (100.CK)

89

SU

Table 10A Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Family Income Range and Educational Cost Range

Table 10A indicates the distribution of Basic Grant recipients by Faully Income Range and Educational Cost Range.

Table 10A shows that a total of 1,086,639 or approximately 59% of the Basic Grant recipients had educational costs ranging from \$1, 01 to \$2,400. Of that number, 280,736 or approximately 5% of all Basic Grant recipients were enrolled in institutions with costs ranging from \$1,501 to \$1,800. Another 442,864 or approximately 24% of all recipients had costs in the \$1,801 to \$2,100 educational costs range and 363,039 or approximately 20% of recipients enrolled in institutions with costs ranging from \$2,101 to \$2,400.

By far the largest number of students in each of these three educational cost ranges reported family incomes of \$3,000 or less. As shown in Table 10A, 107,433 or approximately 38% of the recipients with educational costs ranging from \$1,501 to \$1,800 had family incomes in the \$0 to \$3,000 range. In addition, 159,622 or approximately 36% of the recipients with educational costs in the \$1,801 to \$2,100 range had family incomes ranging from \$0 to \$3,000. Also, 126,147 or approximately 35% of the recipients with educational costs ranging from \$2,101 to \$2,400 had family incomes in the \$0 to \$3,000 range. Of the 1,846,080 total recipients, 1,110,346 or approximately 60% of the Basic Grant recipients had family incomes of \$6,000 or less.



### DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME RANGE AND EDUCATIONAL COST RANGE ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

GRAND TOTAL 1,846,080

FAHLLY LESONE RANGE

EDUCATIONAL COST RANGE	3,000	13001 - 6,000	10000	19001 - 12,000	\$12001 - 15,1700	115,001 +	FOTAL
0 - 1,500				<b></b>			
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	29, 907 ( 39, 32)	20,371 1 26,78)	12,379 ( 16,28)	7, 366 ( 9,42)	3,939 ( 5,18)	2,081 ( 2,78)	76 , 04 ; 1 100 <b>. 05</b>
1,501 - 1,800	_						~~~~
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	107,433 ( 38,28)	71,440 1 25,481	47, 963 ( 17.08)	29,370 ( 10,48)	15,918 ( 5.68)	8,612 ( 3,0\$)	280 , 73 ( 100 <b>. 0</b> \$
1.801 - 2.100							~~~~
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	159, 622 ( 36.08)	108,738 ( 24.58)	79.729 4 18.081	50,021 ( 11.2%)	20,385 ( 6,4%)	16,369 ( 3.68)	442, 864 140_041
2.101 - 2.400							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	126,147	87.636 ( 24.1%)	64.902 1 17.8%	44,449 ( 12,21)	24,819 4 6,811	15:086 ) ( 4.1%)	363,639 (100,001)
2,401 - 2,700							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCEI OF RECIPIENTS	60,155 ( 37.01)	40.254 ( 24.71)	27,596 1 16.981	18,094 ( 11,11)	10,253 ( 6,371	5,479 4 3,611	162,331 1100.011
2,701 - 3,000			<del></del>	~~~~~			~~~~~
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	57,715 1 34,981	39,787 1 24.0%1	29,501 4 17.841	20,109 (12,13)	11,249 ( 6.81)	6 414)	165, 187 (100, 03)
3,001 - 3,300			~~~~~	~~~~			1100,041
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	25, 622 1 34,531	18,287 1 24.681	13,514 1 18.231	8,721 4 11,731	4,962 ( 6,63)	3,016 1 4.03)	74, 122 1100, 081
3,301 - 3,600			~~~~				~~~~~~
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS VERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	20, 924 ( 34,01)	14,777 1 24.031	11,223	7,527 ( 12.2 <b>3</b> )	4,444	2,542 ( 4.18)	61, 437
3,601 +						~~~~	(100,03)
UNDER OF RECIPIENTS ERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	70, 845 ( 32, 13)	50,686 ( 23,08)	40,723 ( 18,4%)	29,649 ( 13,4 <b>2</b> )	17,405 ( 7.82)	11,013	220, 321 (100, 02)
TOTAL		ر والموسود و المواهدة في المواهدة و المواهدة	are change in the second				
UMBER OF RECIPIENTS ERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	658, 370 1 35, 641	451, 976 1 26,481	327,530 ( 17.72)	215,306	121,374	71,524 ( 3.88)	1,846,080 (100.08)



Table 10B Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Family Income Range and Educational Cost Range

Table 10B shows the distribution of dependent Basic Grant recipients by Family Income Range and Educational Cost Range.

The data for dependent Basic Grant recipients indicates trends similar to the total recipient population, with 253,189 or 22.3% enrolled in institutions with educational costs in the \$1,801 to \$2,100 range, 224,028 or 19.7% with costs ranging from \$2,101 to \$2,400 and 164,506 or 14.5% with educational costs in the \$1,501 to \$1,800 range. This means that 641,723 or approximately 56% of all dependent recipients were enrolled in institutions with educational costs ranging from \$1,501 to \$2,400. Moreover, 621,737 or 54.7% of the dependent recipients had family incomes of \$6,000 or less, and of that number, 137,152 or approximately 22% were enrolled in institutions with educational costs ranging from \$1,801 to \$2.100.

## TABLE 10 B DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME RANGE AND EDUCATIONAL COST RANGE ACADENIC YEAR 1977-78

DEFENDENT RECIPIENTS 1.135.947

### FAMILY INCOME RANGE

EDUCATIONAL COST RANGE	\$ 0 - 3,000	\$3001 - 6,000	\$6001 <b>-</b> 94000	\$9001 - 12,000	\$12001 - 15.000	\$15,001 +	TOTAL
O - 1,500 HUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	10, 939 1 33.91)	7,896 1 24.481	5,645 ( 17,48)	4,102 ( 12-78)	2,401   7,441	1,282 ( 3,9 <b>1</b> )	32, 265 (100.08)
1,501 - 1,800			20,951	20,093	11,675	6,316	164,506
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	58, 042 ( 35,21)	39,429 ( 23,91)	1 17,581	( 12.241	( 7.01)	( 3.83)	(100.01)
1,801 - 2,100 NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	80,532 ( 31.8%)	56,620 ( 22,38)	47, 683 ( 18.83)	34,841 1 13,781	21,217 ( <b>4.38)</b>	12,296 ( 4.8%)	253,189 (100.0 <b>1</b> )
2,101 - 2,400 Number of Recipients Percent of Recipients	69,757 ( 31.15)	49, 889 1 22,241	41, 656 ( 18.58)	31,986 ( 14,28)	19,073 ( <b>6.5</b> 1)	11.0667 ( 5.28)	224, Q28 (1Q0, Q3)
2.401 - 2,700  MUNBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	37,015 ( 34.81)	24,502 1 23,131	10,294 ( 17,23)	13,408 1 12.68)	4,040 ( 7,51)	4,751	100.031
2,701 - 3,000 NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	35, 706 4 32.281	24,872 1 22.481	20,286 1 10.381	15,281 6 13,681	4,971 ( 4,18)	5,445 ( 4.98)	110,561 4100.0 <b>3</b> 1
3,001 - 3,300 NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	13.592 ( 30.631	9,863 ( 22,48)	8,399 1 19,011	6,153 ( 13.91)	3, 724 ( <b>0.4</b> 3)	2,269 ( 5,13)	000+44 1F0-001)
3.301 - 3,600  NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	12,498 ( 30.68)	9, 110 ( 22,3%)	7,781 ( 19.081	5:828 ( 14:28)	3,523 ( <b>4.6</b> 8)	2,043 ( 5,08)	.40.7e3
3.601 + NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	46, 766	34,629 ( 21.5%)	30,655 ( 19.01)	24 <sub>1</sub> 258 ( 15,18)	14,797	9,420 ( 5.88)	160, 525 (100-03)
PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS  TOTAL	( 29.1\$)	1 614741					
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	364, 847 ( 32.18)	256,890 ( 22,6 <b>4</b> )	209,350 ( 18.4 <b>t</b> )	155,950 ( 13.7%)	93, 421 ( 0.24)	55,489 ( 4,881	1,135,547 (100-03)

Table 10C Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Family Income Range and Educational Cost Range

Table 10C indicates the distribution of independent Basic Grant recipients by Family Income Range and Educational Cost Range.

Independent recipients showed similar trends to the dependent recipients and the total recipient population, with 444,916 or 62.6% enrolled in institutions with educational costs ranging from \$1,501 to \$2,400. Of all independent recipients, 116,230 or 16.4% had educational costs of \$1,501 to \$1,800, another 189,675 or 26.7% had costs of \$1,801 to \$2,100 and 139,011 or 19.5% enrolled in institutions with educational costs ranging from \$2,101 to \$2,400.

As indicated by Table 10C, 488,609 or 68.7% of the independent recipients had family incomes of \$6,000 or less, and of that number, 131,208 or 26.9% enrolled in institutions with educational costs ranging from \$1,801 to \$2,100.

#### TABLE 10 C DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME RANGE AND EDUCATIONAL COST RANGE ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

710,133

FAMILY INCOME RANGE

-							
EDUCATIONAL COST Range	3,000	\$3001 - 6,000	\$6001 - 9,000	19001 - 12,000	\$12001 - 15,000	\$15,001 +	TOTAL
0 - 1,500							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	18,968 ( 43,3%)	12,475 ( 28,48)	6.734 ( 15.33)	3,264 ( T.48)	1,538 ( 3.58)	799 ( 1.83)	43 <b>, 278</b> ( 100 <b>- C</b> \$1
1,501 - 1,800							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	49,391 ( 42,48)	32, 011 ( 27.5%)	19.012	9,277 ( 7.98)	4,243 ( 3.68)	2,296 ( 1.98)	16.230 (100.0 <b>1</b> )
1,801 - 2,100							<del></del>
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	79,090 ( 41.6%)	52,118 ( 27,48)	32 ± 046 1 16 • 821	15:180 ( 0.08)	7,168 ( 3.78)	4,073 ( 2.1%)	189, 675 (100 · 01)
2,101 - 2,400							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	56,390 ( 40.58)	37,747 ( 27,18)	23 a 246 4 16 a 741	12,463 ( 0.98)	5,746 ( 4.18)	3,419 ( 2,48)	139.C11 (100.03)
2,401 - 2,700							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	23,140 ( 41,18)	15,672 ( 27.81)	9,302 ( 16.5 <b>3)</b>	4,686 ( 4.31)	2,213 ( 3,98)	1,228 ( 2.1%)	56,241 (100.04)
2.701 - 3,000							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	22,009 ( 40,2 <b>3</b> )	14, 915 ( 27.38)	9,215 1 16.881	4,828 ( 8.81)	2,278 ( 4.13)	1,381 ( 2.5 <b>%</b> )	54,626 (100-0 <b>3</b> )
3,001 - 3,300					<del></del>		
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	12,030 ( 39,94)	8,424 ( 27.98)	5,115 1 16.98)	2,568 ( 8.5%)	1,238 ( 4,18)	747 '( 2.48)	30, 122 [100, 9 <b>7</b> ]
3,301 - 3,600							
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	8,426 ( 40.78)	5,667 ( 27.48)	3,442 ( 16,68)	1,699 ( 8.28)	921 6 6.481	499 ( 2.48)	20 , 654 . (100 ļ 0 <b>7</b> )
3,601 +				-			<del></del>
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	24.079 ( 40.281	16,057 ( 26.04)	10.068 1 16.8 <b>3</b> )	5,391 ( 9.08)	2,608 ( 4.38)	1,593 ( 2.64)	` 59, 796 ( 100-0 <b>1)</b>
TOTAL		<del></del>					
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS PERCENT OF RECIPIENTS	293,523 ( 41.38)	195,006 ( 27.48)	118,180 ( 16.63)	59,356 ( 8.3 <b>%</b> )	27, 953 ( <b>3.</b> 94)	16,035 ( 2.24)	710, 133 (100.03)

Table 11 Summary Statistics by Type of Control of Institution

Table 11 presents a summary of Total Expenditures, Total Recipients and Average Grant by Type and Control of Institution.

Of the three institutional controls (Public, Private Non-Profit, Private Profit-Making), Public Institutions received the largest share (\$1,064,620,953), approximately 68% of the total Basic Grant expenditures. Private Profit-Making Institutions received the smallest share (\$140,926,725), approximately 9% of the total expenditures. Public Institutions had 1,318,055 recipients in 1977-78 and Private Profit-Making Institutions had 162,733 recipients.

Despite the fact that Public Institutions had the largest expenditures and number of recipients, their average grant was \$807. In comparison, the average grant at Private Non-Profit Institutions was \$1,011 and the average grant at Private Profit-Making Institutions was \$865. The lowest average grant, \$566, was at Public Institutions with Programs of One Year but less than Two Years. The smallest number of recipients, 504, were enrolled in Private Non-Profit Institutions with Programs of Six Months but less than One Year.

Again, it should be noted that the category "Other" has been included in Table 11, although there are no entries in this category resulting from improved methods of data editing in the computer processing of Basic Grant records.



TABLE 11
BASIC GRANT EXPENDITURES, RECIPIENTS, AND AVERAGE
GRANT BY TYPE AND CONTROL OF INSTITUTION (1977-78)
ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

	CANEMIC LEWE TALL		•
TYPE OF INSTITUTION	TOTAL EXPENOTURES	TOTAL RECIPIENTS	AVERAGE GRANT
TOTAL PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS	\$1,064,620,953	1.318.055	\$807
UNIVERSITIES	\$575.359.776	656+917	\$875
OTHER 4 YEAR	\$103.860.842	119,132	\$871
2 YEAR	\$380.793.645	534,098	\$712
1 YR EUT LESS THAN 2 YRS	\$3,656,721	6,459	\$566
6 MONTHS BUT LESS THAN 5 YR	\$949,969	1,449	\$655
TOTAL PRIVATE. NON-PROFIT	\$369,452,208	365,292	\$1.011
UNIVERSITIES	\$138.835.101	135.991	\$1.020
OTHER 4 YEAR	\$192.671.763	191.547	\$1,005
2 YEAR	\$36.770.904	36,387	\$1+010
1 YR BUT LESS THAN 2 YRS	\$704.708	863	\$816
6 HONTHS BUT LESS THAN 1 YR	\$469,732	504	\$932
TOTAL PRIVATE, PROFIT-MAKING	\$140,926,725	162,733	\$865
UNIVERSITIES	\$653,533	720	\$907
OTHER 4 YEAR	\$6,563,929	7,111	\$923
2 YEAR	\$48,641,321	53,269	\$913
1 YR BUT LESS THAN 2 YRS	\$24,864,958	28,800	\$863
6 MONTHS BUT LESS THAN 1 YR	\$60,202,984	72,833	\$826
OTHER	\$0	0	\$n
TOTAL	\$1.574.999.886	1+846+080	\$853

Table 12 Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by State and Control of Institution

Table 12 shows the distribution of Basic Grant recipients by State and Control of Institution.

As indicated by Table 12, only three states had more than 100,000 recipients, these being New York with 206,451, California with 181,290 and Texas with 102,834. The collective number of recipients in these three states represented 490,575 or 26.6% of the total number of Basic Grant recipients. However, it should be noted that the category "All Others," which includes Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands and the U.S. Trust Territories had a recipient figure of 100,718.

In Public Institutions, six states had more than 50,000 recipients. These six states, California, New York, Texas, Illinois, Florida and Michigan had a collective total of 529,850 recipients or 40.2% of the entire recipient population for Public Institutions.

Table 12 also' indicates that in Private Non-Profit Institutions nine states; California, Illinois, Massachusetts, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee and Texas had a recipient figure exceeding 10,000. These nine states accounted for 166,497 or 45.6% of the recipient figure for Private Non-Profit Institutions.

Recipients in Private Profit-Making Institutions accounted for 162,733 or 8.8% of the total recipient population. Of these 162,733 recipients in Private Profit-Making Institutions, 57,017 or 35% were enrolled in institutions located in California, New York and Pennsylvania.



#### TABLE 12 DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STATE AND CONTROL OF INSTITUTION ACADEMIC YEAR 1971-78

RECIPIENTS	PUALIC	PHIVATE, NON-PROFIT	PROFIT-MAKING	OTHER	TOTAL
TOTALS	1+318+055	365+292	162+733	٨	1.846.480
ALABAHA	32+894	B+456	2,56]	0	43,911
ALASKA	674	#9	31		194
ARIZONA	20.166	463	3,995	n .	25,224
ARKANSAS	15,540	2,993	1,523		20:056
CALIFORNIA COLORADO	145.697	16.031	19,562	11	181+290
CONNECTICIT	17.638	1.186	21477		21,301
DELAWARE	9+600 2+514	3.103 298	1,801		14,504
DIST OF COLUMBIA	3,803	4,920	349		3,166
FLORIDA	53,844	H+984	4,477	a	13,200
GEORGIA	25,527	1,145	21783		65,572
HAWAII	31446	398	555		36,055
[DAHO]	4:307	433	17		41466
LLINCIS	60.119	19,151	5,702	<u>"</u>	84,972
INDIANA	18,524	5,406	5,677		29,007
IOWA	10:367	6.506	657	0	17,530
KANSAS	14,145	3,578	1,418		19-141
KENTUCKY	18,771	4+324	3,927		21.022
LOUISIANA	34,707	3,449	4+908	0	43+064
MAINE MARYLAND	51600	1.893	507		8,000
MASSACHUSE ITS	26.022	2,656	1+056	0	29,734
HICHIGAN	24:805	19,054	4,163	<u> </u>	48.022
HINNESOTA	52,213 26,297	9,681	3,916		65,810
HISSISSIPPI	3] (53]	5,972	1 • 833		34,102
HISSOURI	261429	3,581 7,876	1:087		36,199
HONTANA	51266	549	21518		36,823
NEBRASKA	9,085	2,321	936	<u>-</u>	6,012
NEVADA	21419		219	<del></del>	12.342
NEW HAMPSHIRE	21901	1,900	143	<u> </u>	41944
NEW JERSEY	12:080	62944	6,589		45,113
NEW HEXICO	12.877	1,393	903		15,173
NEW YORK	1361268	43,917	26+266		206,451
NORTH CAROLINA	371542	10.599	1,973	0	50.114
NORTH DAKOTA OHIO	51020	H25	292	0	6,131
OKLAHOHA	451653	13:220	61393	0	651266
OREGON	25.005 19.002	3,376	1,557	n'	29,438
PENNSYLVANIA	31:400	1,941	1,245	n	22,188
RHODE ISLAND	41296	19:747	11-189		64,744
SOUTH CAROLINA	18,461	2+925 6+797	839		8,360
SOUTH DAKOTA	51/70	11891	3.042		28.300
TENNESSEE	24,519	10,593	3,208		8,042
IEXAS	111/09	14,185	61940		38,320
UTAH	61411	224	307	<u> </u>	102,834
VERHONT	51945	11029	35		3,746
VIRGINIA	221740	51442	21026		30,408
WASHINGTON	211036	2,815	2,069	<u>"</u>	26,520
WEST VIRGINIA	11/17	1.819	1,127	<del></del>	10,063
WISCONSIN	211421	3,912	1,532		32,871
NYUMING	11998	0	132	n	2,130
ALL OTHERS	30.370	59.119	3+222	<u> </u>	100,718

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

 $^{13}$ 

Table 13 Distribution of Basic Grant Expenditures by State and Control of Institution

Table 13 shows the distribution of Basic Grant Expenditures by State and Control of Institution.

As indicated in Table 13, total expenditures for Public Institutions were \$1,064,620,953 or 67.6% of the total expenditures for the Basic Educational Opportunity Grant Program. In addition, total expenditures for Private Non-Profit Institutions were \$369,452,208 or 23.5% of the total expenditures, while total expenditures for Private Profit-Making Institutions were \$140,926,725 or 8.9% of the total expenditures for the Basic Grant Program.

TABLE 13
DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT EXPENDITURES
BY STATE AND CONTROL OF INSTITUTION
ACADEMIC YEAR 1977-78

			IENU TALL-10		
EXPEND I TURES	PURLIC	PRIVATE, NON-PROFIT	PRIVATE, PROFIT-MAKING	OTHER	YOTAL
10TAL5	\$1:064:620:953	\$369,452,208	\$140,926,725	Sn	11 1574,9991886
ALABAHA	\$25,121,107	\$9,162,185	\$2+623+700	\$0	427-504-003
ALASKA	\$528,113	195,948	826.166	\$1	\$37,596,992 \$650,227
ARIZONA	\$15,527,292	\$482+163	53:238:076	Sn	\$19,267,531
ARKANSAS	\$12,847,951	\$3.018.582	\$1,236,324	Sn	\$ 7,102,051
CALIFORNIA	SIDDIAHAILA	\$15,974,511	\$16,489.786	\$0	\$ 132,344,340
COLORADO	\$13,959,102	\$1,023,272	\$1,851,156	in .	\$16,1111,510
CONNECTICUT	\$7,750,500	12,960,259	\$1,400,1392	So.	112-1-1-151
OFT OF COLUMNIA	\$2,314,531	1278-619	12981949	Sa:	12.09215
DIST OF COLUMBIA	12,740,105	\$5,231,119	\$4,393,389	\$n	\$12,366,673
GEORGIA	\$43,296,553	\$8,932,259	\$2,369,113	Sn	\$54,597,925
HAWAII	\$20,9031.JP9 \$2,474.1486	10,246,040	12.160.525		531,670,762
IDAHO	\$3,248,918		\$151-101	\$n	13,041,018
ILLINOIS	\$\$7,107,748	\$421,430 \$20,139,933	19,934		\$3,680,282
INDIANA	\$15,774,038	\$1,859,446	\$5:036:487		172,204,200
LONA	\$8,303,254	\$6,001,836	\$4,950,368		\$25,583,852
KANSAS	111,097,495	\$3,350,191	\$190,267		114,195,351
KENTUCKY	115,417,763	\$4,364,720	\$1,135,579	<u>-</u>	115,501,265
LOUISIANA	\$27,192,497	\$3,654,751	1314121564		123,195,017
MAINE	14,889,249	11.768.215	\$4,677,771		135,525,019
MARYLAND	\$22,350,710	\$2,863,420	\$863.034		111191561
MASSACHUSETTS	520,361,944	\$18,479,111	\$3,639,199	<u>\$</u>	12610771164
HICHIGAN	\$44,070,068	\$9,536,590	\$3,313,418	<u>-</u>	142,480,254
HINNESOTA	\$20,479,583	\$5,495,152	\$1,514,025	<u> </u>	156,920,882
HISSISSIPPI	12617141394	\$4,007,953	\$1,049,781	50	127,409,560
HISSOURI	12011441224	\$7,368,729	\$2,001,036	\$1 \$1	\$29,594,789
HONTANA	14,391,792	\$463,232	\$168,250		15:023-274
NEBRASKA	\$7,400.858	\$2,188,259	5736:178	\$0	\$10,325,295
HEVADA	\$1,870,454	\$89,786	5/99,647	\$0	\$2.159.887
NEW HAMPSHIRE	12,578,367	\$1.830.820	5127.114	\$0	\$4,536,301
NEW JERSEY	126,533,227	\$6,405,905	15,684,185	50	\$38,623,317
HEM HEXICO	110.595.800	\$1,417,303	\$549,152		112,562,255
NEW YORK	\$126.A36.145	\$44,033,807	\$22,798,697	50	1193,668,649
NORTH CAROLINA NORTH DAKOTA	129,388,522	\$11,278,542	\$1,913,115	\$0	\$42,580,179
OHIO	\$4.628.576	\$830,265	\$2441297	50	15,203, 38
OKLAHOMA	\$39.798.583	\$13.062.511	\$5,4631716	\$0	158,324,810
OREGON	\$19.429.771	13,354,533	11.203.743	30	\$23,988,047
PENNSYLVANTA	\$15,173,382 \$28,184,339	11,910,760	11.038.069		110.131.411
RHODE ISLAND	\$3+750+730	\$18.018,607	\$9,899,130		156,102,084
SOUTH CAROLINA	\$15.027.138	\$2,689,645	37111351		\$7:151:126
SOUTH DAKOTA	\$4,933,507	\$7,573,746 \$1,806,358	12,123,131		\$25,324,021
TENNESSEE	\$19,981,841	111,261,699	\$3 5,4 6		\$7.056.981
IEXAS	\$61.359.869	\$13,004,996	\$3,185,489	10	434,429,029
UTAH	15.845.352	\$219,016	\$5,466,299 \$218,145		180.711.164
VERHONT	12,277,124	\$985,409	133,409		16:202:51]
VIRGINIA	\$19,404,335	\$5,171,390	\$1,728,700		53,295,942
VASHINGTON	\$16,784,832	\$2,636,108	11,668,054	\$0	\$26,304,425
WEST VIRGINIA	\$6.438.310	\$1,733,408	\$944.972		\$21.080,994
HISCONSIN	122-151-480	\$3,764,058	\$1,294,761		\$9,116,690
NYOMING	\$1,589,078	50	\$96,129	\$0	127,210,299
ALL OTHERS	\$3211061144	\$64,628,946	\$3,316,919		\$1,685,807 \$100,052,009